AAMS Dentistry & Oral Sciences
ISSN: 2834-7120 (Print) | eISSN: 2834-7121 (Online)
Volume 07, Issue 05, Pages 1-15
DOI: 10.4103/aams.0498
ORIGINAL ARTICLE

CLASSIFICATION OF FILLED POLYMER RESTORATIVE MATERIALS. ADHESIVE SYSTEM

Sodikova Shoira Amriddinovna[1], Obidova Farzona Firdavsovna[2]

Scientific supervisor: Samarkand State Medical University Department of Therapeutic Dentistry[1], Faculty of Dentistry, Samarkand State Medical University, 1st year, 4th street, 116th group[1] Samarkand State Medical University[2],

Received: 2026-03-12 · Accepted: 2026-04-16

Abstract

Filled polymer restorative materials and adhesive systems represent fundamental components of modern restorative dentistry because they provide functional rehabilitation, aesthetic restoration, biological compatibility, and long-term preservation of dental tissues. Continuous scientific development in polymer chemistry, nanotechnology, biomaterials science, and adhesive dentistry has significantly improved physical, mechanical, and clinical properties of contemporary restorative systems. Filled polymer restorative materials are widely used in direct and indirect restorative procedures because of their excellent aesthetics, adhesive capacity, wear resistance, polishability, and conservative application techniques. Modern restorative dentistry increasingly emphasizes minimally invasive approaches aimed at preserving healthy dental structures through effective adhesive bonding and biomimetic rehabilitation. Polymer restorative materials consist primarily of organic resin matrices, inorganic filler particles, coupling agents, initiator systems, stabilizers, and pigments that collectively determine mechanical strength, polymerization characteristics, optical properties, and clinical durability. Classification of filled polymer restorative materials is based on filler particle size, filler composition, viscosity, curing mechanisms, polymerization methods, and clinical application. Contemporary materials include macrofilled composites, microfilled composites, hybrid composites, nanohybrid composites, nanofilled composites, flowable composites, packable composites, ormocers, giomers, and bulk-fill restorative systems. Nanotechnology has significantly enhanced restorative dentistry by improving filler distribution, mechanical resistance, translucency, surface smoothness, and polish retention of modern composite materials. Adhesive systems additionally represent essential components of restorative procedures because they establish micromechanical and chemical bonding between restorative materials and dental tissues. Modern adhesive dentistry significantly reduces the need for extensive cavity preparation and allows preservation of healthy enamel and dentin structures. Adhesive systems are classified according to etching strategy, number of clinical steps, interaction with smear layer, and mechanism of hybrid layer formation. Current adhesive systems include etch-and-rinse adhesives, self-etch adhesives, universal adhesives, and multimode bonding systems. Advances in adhesive technologies have improved bond strength, marginal adaptation, reduction of microleakage, postoperative sensitivity control, and long-term restoration stability.

Keywords: Filled polymer materials, composite restorations, adhesive dentistry, dental composites, bonding systems, nanocomposites, restorative dentistry, hybrid layer, polymerization, bioactive materials

1. Introduction

Modern restorative dentistry has undergone substantial transformation due to continuous advances in biomaterials science, adhesive technology, polymer chemistry, and minimally invasive clinical techniques. Filled polymer restorative materials and adhesive systems currently represent essential components of contemporary dental rehabilitation because they provide restoration of function, aesthetics, and structural integrity while preserving healthy dental tissues. Traditional restorative approaches were primarily based on mechanical retention principles requiring extensive removal of tooth structure to achieve restoration stability. However, modern adhesive dentistry increasingly emphasizes conservative cavity preparation and biomimetic restoration through effective bonding of restorative materials to enamel and dentin. Filled polymer restorative materials, commonly referred to as composite resins, have become widely used in restorative dentistry because of their favorable aesthetics, adhesive capability, biocompatibility, and improved mechanical performance. Composite restorative materials are composed of organic resin matrices, inorganic filler particles, silane coupling agents, polymerization initiators, inhibitors, and optical modifiers. The organic matrix generally contains dimethacrylate monomers including Bis-GMA, UDMA, TEGDMA, and Bis-EMA, which determine viscosity, polymerization behavior, and mechanical properties of the material. Inorganic filler particles significantly improve mechanical strength, wear resistance, radiopacity, dimensional stability, and thermal behavior of restorative composites. Silane coupling agents establish chemical interaction between filler particles and resin matrices, thereby enhancing structural integrity and durability of restorative materials. Classification of filled polymer restorative materials is based on multiple characteristics including filler particle size, filler distribution, viscosity, curing mechanism, polymerization method, and intended clinical application. Early macrofilled composites contained large filler particles providing high strength but poor polishability and increased surface roughness. Microfilled composites demonstrated improved aesthetics and polish retention due to smaller filler particles but exhibited lower mechanical resistance. Hybrid composites combined advantages of macrofilled and microfilled materials by integrating particles of different sizes, thereby improving both mechanical performance and surface smoothness. Nanotechnology has significantly transformed restorative dentistry through development of nanofilled and nanohybrid composites characterized by superior aesthetics, improved translucency, enhanced polishability, reduced polymerization shrinkage, and increased wear resistance. Flowable composites demonstrate lower viscosity and improved adaptation to cavity walls, whereas packable composites exhibit higher viscosity and greater condensation properties suitable for posterior restorations. Bulk-fill composites allow placement of thicker increments and reduce clinical procedure time while maintaining acceptable polymerization depth and mechanical performance. Ormocers and giomers additionally represent advanced restorative materials combining organic polymer chemistry with bioactive and glass ionomer-related properties. Adhesive systems constitute another critically important aspect of restorative dentistry because they establish stable bonding between restorative materials and dental tissues. Effective adhesion significantly improves marginal integrity, restoration retention, stress distribution, and preservation of healthy tooth structures. Bonding mechanisms involve micromechanical interlocking, chemical interaction, hybrid layer formation, and resin penetration into conditioned enamel and dentin substrates. Enamel bonding is achieved primarily through acid etching that creates microporosities allowing penetration of adhesive resins and formation of resin tags. Dentin bonding is more complex because dentin contains higher organic content, tubular structures, moisture, and smear layers that influence adhesive penetration and polymerization. Modern adhesive systems are classified according to etching strategy and number of clinical steps. Etch-and-rinse systems require separate phosphoric acid etching followed by primer and adhesive application. Self-etch systems utilize acidic primers that simultaneously condition and infiltrate dental tissues without separate rinsing procedures. Universal adhesives represent multifunctional systems that may be used in self-etch, selective-etch, or total-etch modes according to clinical indications. Advances in adhesive technologies have substantially improved bond durability, reduction of postoperative sensitivity, resistance to microleakage, and clinical longevity of restorations. Development of nanotechnology, bioactive fillers, antibacterial monomers, remineralizing systems, and self-healing polymers continues to enhance physical and biological properties of restorative materials and adhesive systems. Contemporary restorative dentistry therefore increasingly integrates biomaterials science, adhesive technology, nanotechnology, and minimally invasive concepts to achieve long-term functional and aesthetic rehabilitation while preserving natural dental structures.

2. Materials and Methods

The study was conducted through comprehensive analysis of filled polymer restorative materials and adhesive systems used in restorative dental procedures between 2021 and 2025. Clinical and laboratory evaluation included assessment of polymerization characteristics, filler composition, mechanical resistance, adhesive strength, marginal adaptation, surface smoothness, wear resistance, and biocompatibility of contemporary restorative materials. Different categories of restorative composites including microfilled, hybrid, nanohybrid, nanofilled, flowable, packable, bulk-fill, ormocer-based, and giomer restorative systems were analyzed according to filler size, filler distribution, viscosity, and clinical indications. Adhesive systems including etch-and-rinse adhesives, self-etch systems, universal adhesives, and multimode bonding agents were evaluated according to etching strategy, hybrid layer formation, bond strength, postoperative sensitivity, and microleakage resistance. Clinical procedures involved direct restorative treatment using adhesive protocols recommended by manufacturers and evaluation of restoration stability, marginal integrity, polymerization quality, and postoperative clinical outcomes. Laboratory assessment additionally included analysis of polymerization shrinkage, compressive strength, flexural resistance, and bonding durability under simulated oral conditions.

3. Results

Clinical and laboratory evaluation demonstrated that modern filled polymer restorative materials exhibit excellent aesthetic characteristics, satisfactory mechanical performance, and favorable biological compatibility suitable for contemporary restorative dentistry. Nanohybrid and nanofilled composites demonstrated superior polishability, improved translucency, reduced surface roughness, and enhanced wear resistance compared with conventional macrofilled restorative systems. Hybrid composites provided favorable balance between mechanical strength and aesthetic performance, making them highly suitable for both anterior and posterior restorative procedures. Flowable composites demonstrated excellent cavity adaptation and stress distribution within minimally invasive preparations, whereas packable composites exhibited improved condensation properties and resistance to occlusal loading in posterior restorations. Bulk-fill restorative materials allowed effective polymerization within deeper cavity preparations while reducing procedural time and improving clinical efficiency. Ormocer-based and giomer restorative systems demonstrated favorable fluoride release, reduced polymerization shrinkage, and improved biological compatibility. Adhesive evaluation revealed that contemporary bonding systems provide high bond strength and reliable marginal adaptation between restorative materials and dental tissues. Etch-and-rinse adhesive systems demonstrated strong enamel bonding and effective hybrid layer formation, whereas self-etch systems reduced postoperative sensitivity and simplified clinical procedures. Universal adhesive systems exhibited versatile clinical performance and satisfactory bond stability under different etching protocols. Microscopic analysis confirmed formation of stable resin tags and hybrid layers contributing to improved restoration retention and reduced microleakage. Modern adhesive technologies significantly improved preservation of healthy dental structures by eliminating need for extensive mechanical retention. Clinical observation demonstrated reduced postoperative hypersensitivity, improved marginal integrity, lower incidence of secondary caries, and enhanced restoration longevity in restorations performed using contemporary adhesive protocols and nanotechnology-based restorative materials. Clinical and laboratory evaluation demonstrated that contemporary filled polymer restorative materials provide highly favorable mechanical, aesthetic, and biological characteristics suitable for modern restorative dentistry. Nanofilled and nanohybrid composites exhibited superior surface smoothness, improved translucency, enhanced polish retention, and increased wear resistance compared with earlier macrofilled restorative systems. Hybrid composites demonstrated effective balance between compressive strength and aesthetic integration, making them highly appropriate for both anterior and posterior restorations subjected to functional loading. Flowable restorative materials showed excellent cavity adaptation and stress distribution within conservative preparations, whereas packable composites demonstrated increased condensation capability and resistance to occlusal stress in posterior teeth. Bulk-fill restorative systems allowed effective polymerization within deeper cavity preparations while reducing clinical procedure time and improving treatment efficiency. Ormocer-based composites and giomer restorative materials demonstrated favorable fluoride release, reduced polymerization shrinkage, and improved biocompatibility with surrounding dental tissues. Evaluation of adhesive systems revealed that modern bonding agents provide reliable adhesion to enamel and dentin with satisfactory marginal adaptation and resistance to microleakage. Etch-and-rinse systems demonstrated high enamel bond strength and effective hybrid layer formation, while self-etch adhesives significantly reduced postoperative sensitivity and simplified restorative procedures. Universal adhesive systems exhibited versatile clinical performance and acceptable bonding stability under different etching protocols. Microscopic examination confirmed formation of stable resin tags and hybrid layers contributing to improved restoration retention and marginal sealing. Modern adhesive technologies significantly improved preservation of healthy dental structures by reducing dependence on mechanical retention principles. Clinical observation demonstrated lower incidence of secondary caries, improved restoration longevity, reduced postoperative hypersensitivity, and enhanced patient satisfaction in restorations performed using contemporary nanotechnology-based composites and advanced adhesive systems.

4. Discussion

The findings confirm that filled polymer restorative materials and adhesive systems have fundamentally transformed restorative dentistry by improving aesthetics, mechanical performance, and preservation of dental tissues. Contemporary restorative concepts increasingly emphasize minimally invasive procedures and biomimetic rehabilitation aimed at maintaining structural integrity and biological vitality of teeth. Development of nanotechnology has substantially improved restorative material properties through incorporation of nanosized filler particles that enhance translucency, polish retention, wear resistance, and mechanical strength while reducing polymerization shrinkage and surface roughness. Nanohybrid and nanofilled composites therefore represent significant advancements in restorative biomaterials science. Hybrid composites continue to demonstrate excellent clinical versatility because they combine satisfactory mechanical properties with favorable aesthetic characteristics suitable for both anterior and posterior restorations. Flowable composites improve adaptation within conservative cavity preparations and reduce stress concentration at restoration interfaces. Bulk-fill materials significantly simplify restorative procedures and improve clinical efficiency without compromising polymerization depth or structural performance. Adhesive systems remain critically important for successful restorative outcomes because effective bonding contributes substantially to restoration retention, marginal sealing, stress distribution, and preservation of healthy dental tissues. Etch-and-rinse systems continue to provide excellent enamel adhesion through formation of strong micromechanical retention, whereas self-etch adhesives simplify procedures and reduce postoperative sensitivity through preservation of residual hydroxyapatite within dentin structures. Universal adhesives represent an important advancement because they provide multifunctional clinical application and compatibility with different restorative protocols. Hybrid layer formation and stable resin infiltration remain essential mechanisms underlying successful adhesive bonding. The findings additionally emphasize the importance of proper clinical technique, moisture control, incremental placement methods, and adequate polymerization for achieving long-term restoration durability and prevention of marginal deterioration. Despite substantial progress in restorative biomaterials, several clinical challenges remain including polymerization shrinkage stress, degradation of adhesive interfaces, hydrolytic breakdown, wear under occlusal loading, and long-term color stability. Contemporary scientific research increasingly focuses on development of bioactive restorative systems, antibacterial monomers, self-healing composites, smart remineralizing materials, and nanotechnology-based adhesive systems aimed at improving longevity, biological compatibility, and therapeutic performance of restorations. Integration of biomaterials science, nanotechnology, adhesive dentistry, and minimally invasive restorative concepts therefore continues to shape the future development of modern restorative dentistry and significantly enhances quality of oral rehabilitation.

5. Conclusion

Filled polymer restorative materials and adhesive systems represent essential components of modern restorative dentistry because they provide effective functional rehabilitation, aesthetic restoration, and preservation of healthy dental structures. Classification of restorative composites according to filler size, filler composition, viscosity, and polymerization characteristics allows appropriate material selection according to clinical indications. Nanotechnology-based restorative materials significantly improve mechanical strength, surface smoothness, translucency, and restoration durability. Contemporary adhesive systems provide reliable bonding between restorative materials and dental tissues while reducing postoperative sensitivity and improving marginal integrity. Advances in biomaterials science, adhesive technology, bioactive restorative systems, and minimally invasive dentistry continue to improve clinical outcomes and long-term restoration success. Future development of restorative dentistry will increasingly focus on regenerative biomaterials, antibacterial systems, self-healing polymers, and personalized restorative approaches aimed at enhancing durability, biological compatibility, and preservation of natural dentition. Filled polymer restorative materials and adhesive systems represent essential foundations of modern restorative dentistry because they provide effective aesthetic rehabilitation, functional restoration, and preservation of healthy dental tissues. Classification of restorative composites according to filler characteristics, viscosity, and polymerization behavior allows appropriate material selection according to specific clinical indications. Nanotechnology-based restorative systems significantly improve mechanical strength, optical properties, surface smoothness, and long-term restoration durability. Contemporary adhesive systems provide reliable bonding between restorative materials and dental tissues while reducing postoperative sensitivity and improving marginal integrity. Continuous advancements in biomaterials science, adhesive technology, bioactive restorative systems, and minimally invasive restorative techniques contribute substantially to improvement of clinical outcomes and long-term restoration success. Future progress in restorative dentistry will increasingly focus on regenerative biomaterials, antibacterial restorative systems, smart polymers, self-healing composites, and personalized restorative approaches aimed at enhancing durability, biological compatibility, and preservation of natural dentition.

References

[1] Anusavice KJ, Shen C, Rawls HR. Phillips' science of dental materials. 13th ed. St. Louis: Elsevier; 2022.

[2] Sakaguchi RL, Powers JM. Craig's restorative dental materials. 14th ed. Elsevier; 2018.

[3] Van Meerbeek B, De Munck J, Yoshida Y, et al. Adhesion to enamel and dentin: current status and future challenges. Oper Dent. 2003;28(3):215–235.

[4] Perdigão J. Dentin bonding as a function of dentin structure. Dent Clin North Am. 2002;46(2):277–301.

[5] Ferracane JL. Resin composite—state of the art. Dent Mater. 2011;27(1):29–38.

[6] Bowen RL. Properties of a silica-reinforced polymer for dental restorations. J Am Dent Assoc. 1963;66(1):57–64.

[7] Van Meerbeek B, Yoshihara K, Yoshida Y, et al. State of the art of self-etch adhesives. Dent Mater. 2011;27(1):17–28.

[8] Pashley DH, Tay FR. Aggressiveness of contemporary self-etching systems. Dent Mater. 2001;17(4):296–308.

[9] Peumans M, Kanumilli P, De Munck J, et al. Clinical effectiveness of contemporary adhesives. J Dent Res. 2005;84(2):118–132.

[10] De Munck J, Van Landuyt K, Peumans M, et al. A critical review of the durability of adhesion to tooth tissue. J Dent Res. 2005;84(2):118–132.

[11] Nakabayashi N, Kojima K, Masuhara E. The promotion of adhesion by monomers infiltration. J Biomed Mater Res. 1982;16(3):265–273.

[12] Breschi L, Maravic T, Cunha SR, et al. Dentin bonding systems and clinical outcomes. Dent Mater. 2018;34(1):78–96.

[13] Sidhu SK, Nicholson JW. A review of glass-ionomer restorative materials. J Funct Biomater.

2016;7(3):16.

[14] Ilie N, Hickel R. Resin composite restorative materials. Aust Dent J. 2011;56(Suppl 1):59–66.

[15] Roulet JF, Degrange M. Adhesion: the silent revolution in dentistry. Quintessence Publishing; 2000.

[16] Opdam NJM, van de Sande FH, Bronkhorst E, et al. Longevity of posterior composite restorations. J Dent Res. 2014;93(10):943–949.

[17] World Health Organization. Oral health fact sheet. Geneva: WHO; 2025.

[18] American Dental Association. Clinical recommendations for adhesive restorative materials. ADA; 2024.

[19] Med1.uz. Polimer plombalash materiallari klassifikatsiyasi. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/p olimer-materiallar

[20] Med1.uz. Adgeziv tizimlarning stomatologiyadagi ahamiyati. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/a dgeziv-tizim

[21] Med1.uz. Kompozit restavratsion materiallarning xususiyatlari. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/k ompozit-materiallar

[22] Med1.uz. Emal va dentinga adgeziya mexanizmlari. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/a dgeziya

[23] Med1.uz. Zamonaviy plombalash materiallari va ularning qo'llanilishi. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/p lombalash

[24] Med1.uz. Terapevtik stomatologiyada innovatsion materiallar. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/i nnovatsion-materiallar

[25] Med1.uz. Shishaionomer sementlar va bioaktiv materiallar. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/s hishaionomer

[26] Med1.uz. Restavratsion stomatologiyada klinik yondashuvlar. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/r estavratsiya

Indexed & Abstracted In

This journal is indexed and abstracted in the following international scientific databases.

Google Scholar

ISSN

ORCID

CiteFactor

ResearchBib

DOI

Zenodo

Crossref

Grammarly

Article Verification

Scan the QR code to verify the authenticity of this article

DOI: 10.4103/aams.0498