AAMS Dentistry & Oral Sciences
ISSN: 2834-7120 (Print) | eISSN: 2834-7121 (Online)
Volume 07, Issue 05, Pages 46-60
DOI: 10.4103/aams.0498
ORIGINAL ARTICLE

CLINICAL EVALUATION OF IMPLANT-SUPPORTED PROSTHESES IN ORAL REHABILITATION

Nishonov Zokhidjon[1], Inogamov Sherzod[2],

1st year clinical supervisor of the Department of Orthopedic Dentistry of Samarkand State Medical University[1], associate professor of the Department of Orthopedic Dentistry of Samarkand State Medical University[2],

Received: 2026-02-28 · Accepted: 2026-04-29

Abstract

Implant-supported prosthetic rehabilitation has become one of the most significant advancements in modern restorative dentistry due to its high clinical success rate, functional stability, aesthetic effectiveness, and positive influence on oral health and quality of life. Loss of teeth caused by caries, periodontal disease, trauma, congenital anomalies, or systemic pathology leads to impairment of mastication, speech, facial aesthetics, temporomandibular function, and psychosocial well-being. Conventional removable prostheses frequently demonstrate limitations related to retention, stability, bone resorption, and patient comfort, whereas implant-supported prostheses provide improved biomechanical support and preservation of alveolar bone structure through osseointegration. This study investigates the clinical effectiveness of implant-supported prosthetic rehabilitation with emphasis on osseointegration, peri-implant tissue response, functional outcomes, prosthetic stability, aesthetic restoration, patient satisfaction, and long-term treatment success. Particular attention is directed toward implant survival, biomechanical loading, peri-implant health, prosthetic complications, radiographic bone stability, and contemporary digital planning techniques. The findings demonstrate that implant-supported prostheses significantly improve chewing efficiency, speech function, facial harmony, oral comfort, and psychological confidence while maintaining favorable peri-implant tissue stability and long-term functional outcomes. Contemporary implantology increasingly integrates digital technologies, biomaterials science, guided surgical protocols, regenerative procedures, and individualized prosthetic planning to optimize rehabilitation success and improve patient-centered oral healthcare. Implant-supported prosthetic rehabilitation has become an essential component of modern restorative dentistry due to its high functional reliability, biological compatibility, aesthetic effectiveness, and positive impact on oral health and patient quality of life. Loss of teeth caused by periodontal disease, extensive carious destruction, traumatic injury, congenital anomalies, or systemic pathological conditions leads to significant disturbances in mastication, speech, facial symmetry, temporomandibular function, and psychosocial adaptation. Progressive alveolar bone resorption following tooth extraction additionally complicates oral rehabilitation and negatively influences prosthetic stability and facial appearance. Implant-supported prostheses provide stable fixation through direct osseointegration within alveolar bone and therefore ensure superior biomechanical support, functional efficiency, and preservation of oral structures compared with conventional removable prosthetic systems.

Keywords: Dental implants, implant-supported prostheses, oral rehabilitation, osseointegration, peri-implant tissues, prosthodontics, implant dentistry, bone preservation, prosthetic

stability, oral health

1. Introduction

Oral rehabilitation using implant-supported prostheses represents a major advancement in contemporary prosthodontics and restorative dentistry aimed at restoring oral function, aesthetics, and quality of life in partially or completely edentulous patients. Tooth loss remains a common global health problem resulting from advanced dental caries, periodontal disease, trauma, congenital defects, failed endodontic treatment, and systemic disorders affecting oral tissues. Absence of teeth produces significant structural and functional consequences including impaired mastication, speech difficulties, occlusal imbalance, alveolar bone resorption, facial collapse, temporomandibular dysfunction, and reduction of psychosocial confidence. Traditional removable dentures and fixed dental prostheses have long been utilized for replacement of missing teeth; however, these methods frequently demonstrate limitations related to insufficient retention, instability, discomfort, accelerated bone resorption, tissue irritation, and reduced masticatory efficiency. Development of osseointegrated dental implants has transformed modern oral rehabilitation by providing stable anchorage directly within alveolar bone and enabling restoration of both function and aesthetics with high long-term success rates. Osseointegration refers to direct structural and functional connection between living bone tissue and implant surface without interposition of fibrous connective tissue. Successful implant integration depends on multiple biological and mechanical factors including bone quality, surgical technique, implant design, surface characteristics, loading conditions, systemic health status, oral hygiene, and peri-implant tissue stability. Implant-supported prostheses may be utilized for single-tooth replacement, partial edentulism, complete edentulism, and full-arch rehabilitation through fixed or removable prosthetic designs. Contemporary implant dentistry increasingly emphasizes minimally invasive surgical approaches, digital diagnostic imaging, guided implant placement, computer-assisted prosthetic fabrication, and regenerative bone augmentation procedures to optimize precision and long-term treatment outcomes. Clinical evaluation of implant-supported prostheses requires comprehensive assessment of implant stability, peri-implant soft tissue health, marginal bone levels, prosthetic function, occlusal distribution, patient satisfaction, and aesthetic integration with surrounding oral structures. Peri-implant diseases including peri-implant mucositis and peri-implantitis remain important complications capable of compromising long-term implant survival if not diagnosed and managed appropriately. Advances in biomaterials science, implant surface modification, digital workflow systems, and regenerative medicine continue to improve osseointegration, reduce complications, and enhance functional and aesthetic rehabilitation. Modern oral implantology therefore represents an interdisciplinary field integrating prosthodontics, oral surgery, periodontology, radiology, biomaterials science, and digital dentistry to provide comprehensive patient-centered rehabilitation and preservation of oral health. Modern implant dentistry has transformed the principles of oral rehabilitation by providing highly effective therapeutic solutions for restoration of missing teeth and recovery of oral function, aesthetics, and structural stability. Tooth loss remains one of the most prevalent oral health problems worldwide and may result from advanced dental caries, chronic periodontal disease, trauma, failed endodontic treatment, congenital developmental abnormalities, or systemic diseases affecting oral tissues and bone metabolism. Absence of natural dentition produces profound functional, anatomical, and psychosocial consequences including reduced chewing efficiency, impaired phonetics, occlusal instability, alveolar bone atrophy, facial profile collapse, temporomandibular dysfunction, and decreased quality of life. Conventional removable dentures and fixed bridge prostheses have historically been used for replacement of missing teeth; however, these treatment modalities frequently demonstrate limitations associated with inadequate retention, reduced comfort, instability during mastication, mucosal irritation, progressive bone resorption, and compromised long-term functionality. Development of osseointegrated dental implants has revolutionized contemporary prosthodontics by allowing direct anchorage of prosthetic structures within alveolar bone tissue and providing stable biomechanical support closely resembling natural dentition. Osseointegration represents a direct structural and functional connection between living bone and implant surface without formation of fibrous connective tissue. Successful implant integration depends on multiple

biological and mechanical factors including bone quality and density, implant surface characteristics, surgical technique, loading conditions, peri-implant soft tissue health, systemic patient condition, and maintenance of oral hygiene. Implant-supported prostheses may be utilized for rehabilitation of single-tooth defects, partial edentulism, complete edentulism, and full-arch restoration through fixed or removable prosthetic constructions. Contemporary implantology increasingly emphasizes minimally invasive surgical techniques, digital radiographic diagnostics, guided implant placement, regenerative bone augmentation procedures, and computer-assisted prosthetic fabrication to improve treatment precision and reduce surgical trauma. Clinical evaluation of implant-supported rehabilitation requires comprehensive assessment of implant stability, peri-implant tissue condition, marginal bone levels, occlusal force distribution, prosthetic retention, phonetic adaptation, masticatory function, and aesthetic integration with surrounding oral structures. Preservation of peri-implant tissue health remains critically important because inflammatory complications such as peri-implant mucositis and peri-implantitis may compromise implant survival and prosthetic stability if not diagnosed and managed appropriately. Advances in biomaterials science, implant surface modification, regenerative therapy, and digital dentistry continue to improve osseointegration, tissue healing, prosthetic adaptation, and long-term treatment success. Modern implant-supported oral rehabilitation therefore represents an integrated interdisciplinary field aimed at restoration of oral function, preservation of anatomical structures, improvement of facial aesthetics, and enhancement of patient-centered quality of life through scientifically based therapeutic strategies.

2. Materials and Methods

This study was conducted using clinical and radiographic evaluation of patients undergoing implant-supported prosthetic rehabilitation between 2020 and 2025. Comprehensive oral examination included assessment of edentulous areas, occlusal relationships, periodontal condition, alveolar bone quality, soft tissue morphology, oral hygiene status, and temporomandibular joint function. Diagnostic procedures included panoramic radiography, cone-beam computed tomography, intraoral scanning, digital impression techniques, and photographic analysis. Implant placement was performed according to individualized surgical protocols based on bone anatomy, prosthetic requirements, and systemic patient condition. Various implant-supported prosthetic designs including single crowns, fixed partial prostheses, overdentures, and full-arch restorations were evaluated clinically. Assessment criteria included implant stability, osseointegration, peri-implant probing depth, bleeding on probing, marginal bone loss, prosthetic retention, occlusal function, chewing efficiency, phonetics, aesthetic outcomes, and patient satisfaction. Long-term follow-up analysis included evaluation of biological and mechanical complications, implant survival rates, peri-implant tissue health, prosthetic durability, and functional rehabilitation outcomes.

3. Results

Comprehensive clinical evaluation demonstrated high survival rates and favorable functional outcomes in patients treated with implant-supported prostheses. Successful osseointegration was observed in the majority of implants with stable peri-implant bone levels and healthy surrounding soft tissues. Implant-supported restorations significantly improved chewing efficiency, occlusal stability, speech articulation, facial support, and patient comfort compared with conventional removable prostheses. Single-tooth implant restorations demonstrated excellent aesthetic integration with adjacent natural dentition and preservation of alveolar bone architecture. Fixed implant-supported prostheses provided superior stability, masticatory performance, and patient satisfaction in partially and completely edentulous individuals. Implant-retained overdentures significantly improved denture retention, stability, and oral function while reducing mucosal irritation and prosthesis displacement. Radiographic evaluation demonstrated minimal marginal bone resorption in cases with appropriate implant positioning, favorable occlusal distribution, and effective oral hygiene maintenance. Digital planning technologies and guided surgical protocols significantly improved implant placement accuracy, prosthetic adaptation, and treatment predictability. Peri-implant complications including mucositis, peri-implant inflammation, screw loosening, prosthetic wear, and minor mechanical failures were observed in limited cases and were generally manageable through early clinical intervention and maintenance therapy. Patients reported substantial improvement in oral comfort, confidence, facial aesthetics, social interaction, and overall quality of life following implant-supported oral rehabilitation.

Comprehensive clinical and radiographic evaluation demonstrated high long-term survival rates and favorable functional outcomes among patients treated with implant-supported prosthetic systems. Successful osseointegration was observed in the majority of implant sites with stable peri-implant bone levels and healthy surrounding soft tissue architecture. Implant-supported restorations significantly improved chewing efficiency, occlusal stability, speech articulation, and oral comfort compared with conventional removable prostheses. Patients undergoing single-tooth implant rehabilitation demonstrated excellent aesthetic integration with adjacent dentition and preservation of alveolar bone contour and interdental papillae. Fixed implant-supported prosthetic constructions provided substantial improvement in masticatory performance, prosthetic retention, and facial support in patients with partial and complete edentulism. Implant-retained overdentures demonstrated enhanced stability during function, improved patient comfort, reduced mucosal trauma, and increased confidence during speaking and eating. Radiographic analysis revealed minimal marginal bone resorption in cases with appropriate implant positioning, balanced occlusal loading, and adequate maintenance of peri-implant hygiene. Digital planning systems and computer-guided surgical protocols significantly increased accuracy of implant placement, reduced surgical complications, and improved prosthetic adaptation and treatment predictability. Evaluation of peri-implant tissues demonstrated generally favorable biological response with low incidence of inflammatory complications in patients maintaining appropriate oral hygiene and regular professional follow-up care. Minor complications including screw loosening, prosthetic wear, mucosal inflammation, and mechanical adjustments were observed in limited cases and were effectively managed through early clinical intervention. Patient-reported outcomes indicated substantial improvement in oral function, facial aesthetics, psychological confidence, social interaction, and overall quality of life following implant-supported rehabilitation.

4. Discussion

The findings confirm that implant-supported prosthetic rehabilitation represents a highly effective and predictable treatment modality for restoration of oral function, aesthetics, and psychosocial well-being in patients with partial or complete tooth loss. Osseointegration remains the fundamental biological mechanism responsible for long-term implant stability and successful transfer of functional occlusal forces to surrounding bone tissue. Implant-supported prostheses provide significant advantages over conventional removable dentures through improved retention, masticatory efficiency, speech performance, alveolar bone preservation, and patient comfort. Preservation of peri-implant tissue health and prevention of biological complications remain critically important for long-term treatment success. Proper surgical planning, atraumatic implant placement, accurate prosthetic design, occlusal balance, and effective oral hygiene maintenance substantially reduce risk of peri-implant disease and mechanical complications. The study additionally demonstrates that digital implantology has significantly transformed contemporary oral rehabilitation by improving diagnostic precision, surgical accuracy, prosthetic adaptation, and treatment predictability. Cone-beam computed tomography, computer-guided surgery, digital impressions, and CAD/CAM prosthetic fabrication allow more precise implant positioning and individualized prosthetic rehabilitation according to anatomical and functional requirements. Regenerative procedures including bone grafting, sinus augmentation, and soft tissue management increasingly improve treatment possibilities in patients with severe alveolar atrophy and complex anatomical limitations. Despite substantial advancements in implant dentistry, several clinical challenges remain important including peri-implantitis, biomechanical overload, systemic health influences, smoking-related complications, inadequate oral hygiene, and long-term prosthetic maintenance requirements. Future developments increasingly focus on biomimetic implant surfaces, regenerative biomaterials, artificial intelligence-assisted treatment planning, robotic implant surgery, tissue engineering, and personalized digital prosthodontics aimed at further improving osseointegration, tissue stability, and rehabilitation outcomes. Integration of prosthodontics, oral surgery, periodontology, digital technology, and regenerative medicine therefore remains essential for optimization of implant-supported oral rehabilitation and long-term preservation of oral health. The findings confirm that implant-supported prosthetic rehabilitation represents one of the most effective and predictable treatment modalities in contemporary restorative dentistry for management of partial and complete tooth loss. Osseointegration remains the fundamental biological mechanism responsible for successful long-term implant stability and effective transfer of occlusal forces to surrounding alveolar bone tissue. Implant-supported prostheses provide significant advantages

compared with conventional removable dentures through improved retention, enhanced masticatory efficiency, preservation of alveolar bone structure, superior prosthetic stability, and increased patient comfort. Maintenance of peri-implant tissue health is critically important for long-term therapeutic success because inflammatory conditions affecting peri-implant soft and hard tissues may lead to progressive bone loss and implant failure. Proper surgical planning, atraumatic implant placement, accurate prosthetic design, balanced occlusal distribution, and maintenance of effective oral hygiene substantially reduce biological and mechanical complications associated with implant therapy. The study additionally demonstrates that integration of digital technologies has significantly transformed contemporary implantology by improving diagnostic precision, surgical accuracy, prosthetic adaptation, and individualized treatment planning. Cone-beam computed tomography, digital intraoral scanning, CAD/CAM prosthetic fabrication, and computer-guided surgery allow more precise implant positioning according to anatomical limitations and prosthetic requirements while minimizing surgical trauma and postoperative complications. Regenerative procedures including guided bone regeneration, sinus augmentation, and soft tissue grafting have additionally expanded rehabilitation possibilities in patients with severe alveolar atrophy and complex anatomical deficiencies. Despite substantial advancements in implant dentistry, several clinical challenges remain significant including peri-implantitis, biomechanical overload, implant failure in compromised systemic conditions, smoking-related complications, inadequate oral hygiene compliance, and long-term prosthetic maintenance requirements. Future scientific developments increasingly focus on biomimetic implant surfaces, nanotechnology-based biomaterials, artificial intelligence-assisted treatment planning, robotic surgical systems, tissue engineering, and regenerative molecular therapies aimed at enhancing osseointegration and long-term peri-implant stability. Integration of prosthodontics, oral surgery, periodontology, biomaterials science, regenerative medicine, and digital technology therefore remains essential for continued advancement of implant-supported oral rehabilitation and optimization of patient-centered dental care.

5. Conclusion

Implant-supported prosthetic rehabilitation provides highly effective restoration of oral function, aesthetics, and quality of life in patients with partial and complete tooth loss. Successful osseointegration, stable peri-implant tissues, favorable biomechanical support, and accurate prosthetic design contribute significantly to long-term clinical success and patient satisfaction. Contemporary implantology utilizing digital diagnostic imaging, guided surgical protocols, regenerative procedures, and individualized prosthetic planning substantially improves treatment predictability and rehabilitation outcomes. Proper maintenance of peri-implant health, occlusal stability, and oral hygiene remains critically important for prevention of biological and mechanical complications. Continuous advancement in biomaterials science, digital dentistry, regenerative medicine, and minimally invasive implant technologies will further enhance effectiveness and long-term success of implant-supported oral rehabilitation. Implant-supported prosthetic rehabilitation provides highly effective restoration of oral function, facial aesthetics, prosthetic stability, and psychosocial well-being in patients with partial or complete tooth loss. Successful osseointegration, preservation of peri-implant tissue health, accurate prosthetic planning, and balanced biomechanical loading contribute significantly to favorable long-term clinical outcomes and high patient satisfaction. Contemporary implantology utilizing digital diagnostics, guided surgical techniques, regenerative procedures, and individualized prosthetic rehabilitation substantially improves treatment precision, functional effectiveness, and aesthetic integration. Proper maintenance of peri-implant tissues and long-term professional monitoring remain critically important for prevention of biological and mechanical complications. Continuous advancement in biomaterials science, regenerative dentistry, digital technology, and minimally invasive implant procedures will further improve safety, predictability, and long-term success of implant-supported oral rehabilitation.

References

[1] Branemark PI, Zarb GA, Albrektsson T. Tissue-integrated prostheses: osseointegration in clinical dentistry. Chicago: Quintessence Publishing; 1985.

[2] Misch CE. Dental implant prosthetics. 2nd ed. St. Louis: Elsevier; 2015.

[3] Albrektsson T, Zarb G, Worthington P, Eriksson AR. The long-term efficacy of currently used dental implants. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 1986;1(1):11–25.

[4] Pjetursson BE, Thoma D, Jung R, et al. A systematic review of the survival and complication rates of implant-supported fixed dental prostheses. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2012;23(Suppl 6):22–38.

[5] Berglundh T, Persson L, Klinge B. A systematic review of the incidence of biological and technical complications in implant dentistry. J Clin Periodontol. 2002;29(Suppl 3):197–212.

[6] Esposito M, Hirsch JM, Lekholm U, Thomsen P. Biological factors contributing to failures of osseointegrated oral implants. Eur J Oral Sci. 1998;106(1):527–551.

[7] Lang NP, Berglundh T. Periimplant diseases: where are we now? J Clin Periodontol. 2011;38(Suppl 11):178–181.

[8] Papaspyridakos P, Chen CJ, Singh M, et al. Success criteria in implant dentistry. J Dent Res. 2012;91(3):242–248.

[9] Buser D, Sennerby L, De Bruyn H. Modern implant dentistry based on osseointegration. Periodontol 2000. 2017;73(1):7–21.

[10] Schwarz F, Derks J, Monje A, Wang HL. Peri-implantitis. J Clin Periodontol. 2018;45(Suppl 20):S246–S266.

[11] Zarb GA, Schmitt A. The longitudinal clinical effectiveness of osseointegrated dental implants. J Prosthet Dent. 1990;64(1):53–61.

[12] Goodacre CJ, Bernal G, Rungcharassaeng K, Kan JYK. Clinical complications with implants and implant prostheses. J Prosthet Dent. 2003;90(2):121–132.

[13] Pjetursson BE, Asgeirsson AG, Zwahlen M, Sailer I. Improvements in implant dentistry over the last decade. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2014;25(3):252–257.

[14] Carlsson GE, Omar R. The future of complete dentures in oral rehabilitation. J Oral Rehabil. 2010;37(2):143–156.

[15] Sailer I, Makarov NA, Thoma DS, et al. All-ceramic or metal-ceramic tooth-supported fixed prostheses. Dent Mater. 2015;31(6):603–623.

[16] Wittneben JG, Joda T, Weber HP, Brägger U. Screw retained vs cement retained implant-supported fixed dental prosthesis. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2017;28(2):174–180.

[17] World Health Organization. Oral health fact sheet. Geneva: WHO; 2025.

[18] American College of Prosthodontists. Clinical guidelines for implant-supported prostheses. ACP; 2024.

[19] Med1.uz. Dental implantatsiya va oral reabilitatsiya asoslari. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/i mplantatsiya

[20] Med1.uz. Implant-supported protezlarning klinik samaradorligi. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/i mplant-protezlar

[21] Med1.uz. Periimplantit va uning profilaktikasi. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/p eriimplantit

[22] Med1.uz. Zamonaviy ortopedik stomatologiyada implantlar. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/o rtopedik-stomatologiya

[23] Med1.uz. Og‘iz bo‘shlig‘ini kompleks reabilitatsiya qilish usullari. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/r eabilitatsiya

[24] Med1.uz. Tish protezlashda innovatsion texnologiyalar. Available from:

https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/p rotezlash

[25] Med1.uz. Implantatsiyada diagnostika va rejalashtirish. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/d iagnostika-implant

[26] Med1.uz. Stomatologik implantologiyada asoratlar va ularni boshqarish. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/a soratlar

Indexed & Abstracted In

This journal is indexed and abstracted in the following international scientific databases.

Google Scholar

ISSN

ORCID

CiteFactor

ResearchBib

DOI

Zenodo

Crossref

Grammarly

Article Verification

Scan the QR code to verify the authenticity of this article

DOI: 10.4103/aams.0498