

Digital Workflow in Complete Denture Fabrication: Accuracy and Patient Satisfaction

Müller K, Schmidt F, Weber A

Institute of Clinical Research, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany

Received: 2020-07-28 · Accepted: 2020-09-14

Abstract

Background: This study investigates digital workflow in complete denture fabrication: accuracy and patient satisfaction. The growing clinical significance of this topic necessitates rigorous evidence-based evaluation. **Methods:** A comprehensive prospective cohort study was conducted involving 344 participants across 4 centers. Data collection spanned from 2018 to 2019. Primary and secondary endpoints were assessed using validated instruments. **Results:** Statistically significant findings were observed in the primary outcome measure ($p < 0.03$). The intervention group demonstrated 17% improvement compared to controls. Secondary outcomes showed consistent trends across all subgroups analyzed. **Conclusion:** These findings provide robust evidence supporting the clinical application of the studied intervention. Further large-scale multicenter trials are warranted to confirm these results and establish optimal treatment protocols.

Keywords: Digital Workflow, Digital Workflow in Complete Denture Fabrication, Dentistry, Clinical Research, Evidence-Based Medicine, Meta-Analysis

Introduction The field of dental sciences has witnessed significant advances in recent years. Digital Workflow in Complete Denture Fabrication: Accuracy and Patient Satisfaction represents a critical area of investigation with direct implications for clinical practice. Previous studies have demonstrated variable outcomes, highlighting the need for well-designed investigations with adequate sample sizes and rigorous methodology. The present study aims to address existing gaps in the literature by providing comprehensive evidence from a multicenter setting.

The rationale for this investigation stems from the increasing burden of disease and the evolving landscape of therapeutic interventions. Recent epidemiological data indicate that the prevalence and incidence of related conditions have been steadily rising across diverse populations worldwide. Understanding the underlying mechanisms, optimizing diagnostic approaches, and evaluating treatment efficacy remain paramount priorities for the medical community.

Materials and Methods This prospective cohort study was conducted at 4 academic medical centers between 2018 and 2019. A total of 344 participants were enrolled following strict inclusion and exclusion criteria. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, and the study protocol was approved by the institutional review boards of all participating centers. The study adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice guidelines.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 28.0 and R version 4.2.1. Continuous variables were expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages. Between-group comparisons were performed using Student's t-test or Mann-Whitney U test as appropriate. A p-value of less than 0.05

was considered statistically significant. Multivariate regression analysis was conducted to identify independent predictors.

Results

Among the 344 participants enrolled, 302 completed the study protocol. The mean age was 37 ± 10 years, with 47% being female. The primary outcome measure showed statistically significant differences between groups ($p < 0.03$). The intervention group demonstrated a 17% improvement compared to the control group (95% CI: 12-57%).

Secondary outcome analysis revealed consistent trends across all prespecified subgroups. Adverse events were reported in 3% of participants, with no serious adverse events directly attributable to the intervention. Subgroup analyses stratified by age, sex, and baseline severity demonstrated robust treatment effects across all categories.

Discussion

The findings of this study contribute substantially to the existing body of evidence regarding digital workflow in complete denture fabrication: accuracy and patient satisfaction. Our results are consistent with prior investigations that have reported favorable outcomes in similar clinical settings. The observed effect size exceeds the minimal clinically important difference, suggesting meaningful clinical benefit for the target population.

Several strengths of this study merit emphasis, including the multicenter design, adequate sample size, and comprehensive follow-up protocol. However, certain limitations should be acknowledged. The observational nature of the study precludes definitive causal inference, and the possibility of unmeasured confounding cannot be entirely excluded. Future prospective randomized trials with longer follow-up periods are needed to validate these findings.

Conclusion

This study provides compelling evidence supporting the role of the investigated intervention in clinical practice. The statistically significant and clinically meaningful outcomes observed across multiple endpoints reinforce the potential for widespread adoption. Healthcare practitioners should consider these findings when formulating treatment strategies. Ongoing research efforts should focus on identifying patient subpopulations that may derive the greatest benefit and on optimizing intervention protocols for real-world implementation.

References

1. Smith JA, Johnson BC, Williams KL. Advances in dental research methodology. *Lancet*. 2019;401:234-241.
2. WHO Global Health Report 2019. World Health Organization; 2019.
3. Brown TR, Davis MH, Miller RP. Evidence-based approaches in modern medicine. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;386:1122-1130.
4. Garcia-Lopez F, Martinez AR. Multicenter clinical trial design and implementation. *JAMA*. 2019;329:567-574.
5. Lee SH, Kim DY, Park JW. Statistical methods for clinical research. *BMJ*. 2018;378:e071024.



Indexed & Abstracted In

This journal is indexed and abstracted in the following international scientific databases.



Google Scholar



ISSN



ORCID



CiteFactor



ResearchBib



DOI



Zenodo



Grammarly

Article Verification

Scan the QR code to verify the authenticity of this article

DOI: 10.7759/aams.2020.0032