AAMS Dentistry & Oral Sciences
ISSN: 2834-7120 (Print) | eISSN: 2834-7121 (Online)
Volume 07, Issue 09, Pages 1-15
DOI: 10.410/aams.0498
ORIGINAL ARTICLE

CLINICAL EFFECTIVENESS AND LONG-TERM OUTCOMES OF DIGITAL CAD/CAM TECHNOLOGIES IN MODERN PROSTHODONTIC REHABILITATION

Anvarov Shahboz
Samarkand State Medical University

Received: 2026-07-29 · Accepted: 2026-08-26

Abstract

Digital computer-aided design and computer-aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM) technologies have transformed contemporary prosthodontics by introducing more predictable digital workflows for diagnosis, treatment planning, prosthesis fabrication, and clinical delivery. Compared with conventional laboratory procedures, digital systems can reduce the number of manual production stages, improve communication between clinicians and dental technicians, and facilitate standardized fabrication of fixed and removable prosthetic restorations. The clinical effectiveness of CAD/CAM rehabilitation depends not only on the accuracy of digital impressions and computer-generated designs but also on material selection, manufacturing technology, clinical preparation, occlusal management, cementation, and long-term maintenance. This article examines the clinical effectiveness and long-term outcomes associated with CAD/CAM-based prosthodontic rehabilitation. Particular attention is given to digital intraoral scanning, virtual treatment planning, computer-assisted restoration design, subtractive and additive manufacturing, marginal adaptation, occlusal accuracy, esthetic predictability, patient comfort, treatment efficiency, and restoration longevity. The available clinical evidence indicates that appropriately implemented digital workflows can provide highly accurate restorations and improve treatment reproducibility. Digital technologies may also enhance patient communication by allowing visualization of proposed restorations before definitive treatment. Long-term clinical performance, however, remains influenced by biological, mechanical, material-related, and patient-specific factors. Successful digital prosthodontics therefore requires integration of technological capabilities with sound clinical principles rather than replacing conventional prosthodontic knowledge. Continued development of scanning systems, artificial intelligence-assisted design, high-performance restorative materials, and additive manufacturing is expected to further expand the role of digital CAD/CAM technologies in personalized prosthodontic rehabilitation.

Keywords: CAD/CAM, digital dentistry, prosthodontics, intraoral scanning, digital impression, computer-aided design, computer-aided manufacturing, dental restoration, clinical effectiveness, restoration longevity.

1. Introduction

Prosthodontic rehabilitation aims to restore oral function, dental anatomy, occlusal stability, speech, and facial esthetics while maintaining the biological health of the remaining oral tissues. Conventional prosthodontic treatment has traditionally depended on physical impressions, gypsum casts, manual waxing, laboratory processing, and mechanical adjustment. Although these methods remain clinically valuable, they may involve multiple technical stages in which dimensional changes, operator variability, material deformation, or inaccuracies in transferring information can occur.

The development of digital dentistry has introduced a different approach to prosthodontic treatment. CAD/CAM technology allows clinical information to be converted into digital data that can be manipulated, stored, analyzed, and transferred between the dental clinic and laboratory. A digital workflow may begin with intraoral scanning, followed by virtual model generation, restoration design, computer-assisted manufacturing, clinical try-in, and final placement.

One of the principal advantages of digital prosthodontics is the possibility of reducing dependence on conventional impression materials. Intraoral scanners can capture three-dimensional information directly from the patient's oral cavity and generate a virtual representation of the dentition. Digital files can subsequently be transferred electronically, reducing the need for physical transportation and facilitating communication between the dentist and dental laboratory.

CAD software provides opportunities for highly controlled restoration design. Parameters such as proximal contacts, occlusal morphology, emergence profiles, connector dimensions, and material thickness can be digitally adjusted. Previously designed restorations can also be stored and modified when replacement is required, creating an important advantage for long-term maintenance.

CAM technologies can manufacture restorations using subtractive milling or additive manufacturing. Subtractive systems produce restorations by removing material from prefabricated blocks or discs, whereas additive systems construct objects progressively through successive layers. Both approaches have developed considerably and are now applied to a wide range of prosthodontic indications.

Digital CAD/CAM technology is currently used for crowns, fixed partial dentures, veneers, inlays, onlays, implant-supported restorations, removable prostheses, surgical guides, provisional restorations, and complete-arch rehabilitation. The increasing diversity of available materials, including zirconia, lithium disilicate, hybrid ceramics, composite-based materials, and high-performance polymers, has expanded the clinical possibilities of digital workflows.

Nevertheless, digitalization does not automatically guarantee superior clinical outcomes. The accuracy of a digital restoration is influenced by the complete workflow, including scanning technique, preparation geometry, data processing, software design, manufacturing precision, material properties, finishing, and clinical seating. Errors introduced during one stage may be transferred to subsequent stages.

Long-term success must therefore be evaluated from a multidimensional perspective. A restoration can be technically accurate but fail clinically because of secondary caries, periodontal inflammation, fracture, loss of retention, wear, peri-implant disease, or patient-related factors. Consequently, assessment of CAD/CAM effectiveness should include biological, mechanical, functional, esthetic, and patient-centered outcomes.

The objective of this article is to analyze the clinical effectiveness of contemporary digital CAD/CAM technologies and to discuss factors influencing the long-term performance of CAD/CAM-based prosthodontic rehabilitation.

2. Materials and Methods

The methodological framework of this article is based on a structured scientific analysis of contemporary approaches to CAD/CAM-assisted prosthodontic rehabilitation. The assessment focuses on the complete digital workflow from acquisition of clinical information to restoration delivery and long-term maintenance.

The first stage considered was digital data acquisition. Intraoral scanning procedures were evaluated according to their ability to reproduce dental surfaces, preparation margins, occlusal relationships, and soft-tissue contours. Particular attention was given to scan strategy, field size, saliva control, visibility of preparation margins, and operator experience.

The second stage involved digital treatment planning and CAD-based restoration design. The evaluation included virtual articulation, determination of restoration morphology, contact adjustment, occlusal planning, emergence profile design, and selection of appropriate restorative material.

The third component was computer-aided manufacturing. Both subtractive and additive approaches were considered. Subtractive manufacturing includes milling of prefabricated ceramic, composite, or polymer blocks, while additive manufacturing involves layer-by-layer fabrication of prosthetic components or models.

Clinical evaluation of CAD/CAM restorations may include assessment of marginal adaptation, internal fit, proximal contacts, occlusal contacts, anatomical morphology, surface quality, esthetics, and patient comfort. For fixed restorations, particular importance is assigned to the relationship between the restoration and the prepared tooth.

Biological outcomes include periodontal tissue response, plaque accumulation, gingival inflammation, secondary caries, pulpal complications, and peri-implant tissue health where implant-supported restorations are involved.

Mechanical outcomes include restoration fracture, chipping, wear, loss of retention, screw-related complications in implant-supported prostheses, connector failure, and deformation. The nature of these complications is analyzed in relation to restorative material, design characteristics, occlusal loading, and patient-specific risk factors.

Patient-centered assessment may include treatment comfort, perceived esthetic improvement, functional satisfaction, treatment duration, number of appointments, and overall acceptance of digital procedures.

Long-term outcome assessment requires observation over an extended period and should distinguish between technical survival and biological success. Restoration survival alone does not necessarily indicate optimal clinical performance if the patient develops periodontal inflammation, recurrent caries, or persistent occlusal dysfunction.

Potential sources of error within the digital workflow are also considered. These include scanning artifacts, incomplete data capture, software processing limitations, manufacturing deviations, material shrinkage or distortion, inadequate finishing, and clinical seating errors.

3. Results

The analysis demonstrates that CAD/CAM technologies can substantially improve the organization and reproducibility of modern prosthodontic workflows. Digital acquisition, virtual design, and computer-assisted manufacturing allow many stages of restoration fabrication to be standardized and documented.

Digital impressions can provide several practical advantages compared with conventional impression procedures. Patients may experience less discomfort because intraoral scanning eliminates or reduces the use of impression trays and impression materials. Digital data can also be inspected immediately, allowing the clinician to identify incomplete or defective areas and rescan them before the restoration is fabricated.

The accuracy of digital impressions is particularly relevant for single-unit and short-span restorations. With appropriate scanning technique and adequate moisture control, modern intraoral scanners can generate clinically useful digital models. However, accuracy may decrease in extensive edentulous areas or challenging full-arch situations depending on scanner technology, scanning strategy, and clinical conditions.

CAD-based restoration design contributes to reproducibility. Digital libraries of tooth anatomy and standardized design parameters can assist in creating restorations with predictable morphology. The clinician and technician can modify the virtual restoration before manufacturing, potentially reducing the amount of manual adjustment required during clinical delivery.

Another important result is improved communication between dental professionals. Digital files can be transferred rapidly between the dental clinic and laboratory, allowing treatment plans, preparation designs, photographs, scans, and virtual restorations to be reviewed collaboratively. This may reduce misunderstandings and facilitate multidisciplinary treatment.

CAD/CAM manufacturing also provides consistent production conditions. Industrially manufactured restorative blocks are produced under controlled conditions, which can contribute to uniformity in material properties. Milling parameters can be standardized according to the material and restoration type.

Marginal adaptation remains one of the most important parameters in evaluating CAD/CAM restorations. Properly designed and manufactured restorations can achieve clinically acceptable marginal relationships, which are essential for reducing plaque retention, cement dissolution, secondary caries, and periodontal irritation.

Internal adaptation is also clinically relevant. Excessive internal discrepancies may compromise cement thickness and restoration stability, whereas excessively tight areas can prevent complete seating. Digital software and manufacturing systems allow internal relief parameters to be controlled during the design stage.

Occlusal accuracy can represent another advantage of digital workflows. Virtual articulation and digital occlusal analysis may assist clinicians in creating restorations that require fewer extensive occlusal corrections. Nevertheless, digital occlusion does not completely eliminate the need for clinical verification because intraoral conditions can differ from virtual models.

The esthetic outcomes of CAD/CAM restorations are influenced by material selection, digital morphology, surface texture, color, translucency, staining, and finishing procedures. Modern ceramic systems can provide highly natural optical characteristics when appropriate materials and laboratory protocols are selected.

For implant-supported rehabilitation, digital workflows can simplify the coordination between implant position, prosthetic design, and surgical planning. Digital implant impressions and virtual planning may improve communication between surgical and prosthetic stages. However, accuracy becomes increasingly important as the number of implants and the size of the prosthesis increase.

Long-term clinical performance is determined by more than manufacturing accuracy. Mechanical loading, parafunctional activity, oral hygiene, periodontal health, dietary habits, restorative material, cementation technique, and patient compliance all influence survival.

CAD/CAM provisional restorations can also contribute to treatment efficiency. Digitally fabricated provisionals may be designed from the planned definitive restoration and can assist in evaluating esthetics, phonetics, occlusion, and soft-tissue contours before final fabrication.

A further advantage is digital reproducibility. If a restoration is damaged or lost, previously stored digital information may facilitate fabrication of a replacement without repeating the entire conventional impression procedure. This feature may be particularly valuable for patients requiring long-term prosthodontic maintenance.

4. Discussion

The clinical significance of CAD/CAM technology lies not simply in replacing conventional laboratory procedures with computerized equipment but in creating a more integrated prosthodontic workflow. Digital dentistry changes how information is acquired, transferred, stored, analyzed, and transformed into a definitive restoration.

One of the most important benefits is reduction of workflow complexity. Conventional treatment may involve impression taking, disinfection, transportation, cast fabrication, articulation, waxing, investing, casting or pressing, finishing, and multiple adjustment stages. Digital workflows can eliminate or simplify several of these steps. This may reduce opportunities for cumulative error.

However, digital workflows introduce their own sources of error. A digital impression is only as accurate as the clinical information captured by the scanner. Blood, saliva, movement, reflective surfaces, deep subgingival margins, and limited access can compromise scanning quality. Therefore, successful digital prosthodontics still depends on fundamental clinical skills such as tissue management, moisture control, tooth preparation, and proper isolation.

The transition from physical impressions to digital scanning also changes the learning requirements for clinicians. Operators must understand scanner limitations, appropriate scanning paths, image stitching, data verification, and digital file management. Consequently, technological competence should be considered an integral component of modern prosthodontic education.

CAD design can improve standardization but should not lead to excessive dependence on automated algorithms. The biological and functional requirements of individual patients remain more important than generic software recommendations. Tooth morphology, periodontal architecture, occlusal relationships, interarch space, parafunctional activity, and esthetic expectations must all be considered.

Material selection is another major determinant of long-term outcome. Zirconia provides high strength and is widely used in posterior and extensive restorations, while lithium disilicate is particularly valuable when esthetics and adhesive bonding are important. Resin-based and hybrid materials may be advantageous in selected indications where elastic behavior, reparability, or conservative preparation is desirable.

The longevity of CAD/CAM restorations is also closely associated with the quality of tooth preparation. A poorly designed preparation cannot necessarily be corrected by sophisticated digital technology.

Adequate reduction, clear finishing lines, appropriate taper, preservation of sound tooth structure, and sufficient restorative material thickness remain essential.

Marginal accuracy has a biological dimension. Poorly adapted margins may increase plaque retention and contribute to gingival inflammation or secondary caries. Therefore, evaluation of CAD/CAM effectiveness should always extend beyond technical precision and include periodontal and dental tissue outcomes.

Digital occlusal planning offers opportunities for more controlled rehabilitation. Virtual articulation can assist in establishing functional morphology and contact relationships. However, the final occlusion must always be verified clinically because mandibular movement, periodontal proprioception, muscle activity, and patient adaptation cannot be completely reproduced by a digital model.

Another important issue is the long-term behavior of restorative materials. Even highly accurate restorations can experience fatigue, wear, fracture, surface degradation, or debonding over time. Material thickness, connector dimensions, restoration geometry, occlusal forces, and patient parafunction can influence these outcomes.

Bruxism and other parafunctional habits deserve particular attention. High repetitive forces may increase the risk of ceramic fracture, chipping, screw loosening, or tooth-related complications. Patients with substantial parafunctional activity may therefore require modified restoration designs, protective occlusal strategies, and long-term monitoring.

Periodontal health also influences prosthodontic longevity. A digitally fabricated crown cannot compensate for poor oral hygiene or an improperly positioned restoration margin. Regular professional maintenance and effective home-care practices remain essential components of long-term success.

An important advantage of digital dentistry is improved patient communication. Virtual models and proposed restoration designs can make treatment plans easier for patients to understand. Patients may visualize changes in tooth shape, alignment, and esthetics before definitive treatment. This can contribute to shared decision-making and improve treatment acceptance.

Digital records can also strengthen continuity of care. The ability to store three-dimensional dental information allows clinicians to compare changes over time and reproduce previous designs. This may become increasingly important in patients requiring multiple stages of rehabilitation or long-term restorative maintenance.

The application of artificial intelligence is expected to further influence CAD/CAM workflows. AI-based systems may assist with tooth segmentation, restoration design, margin identification, occlusal analysis, shade assessment, and detection of anatomical or pathological features. Nevertheless, automated systems should remain under professional supervision because treatment decisions involve biological and patient-specific considerations that cannot be reduced to geometric optimization.

The economic impact of CAD/CAM technology is complex. Initial investment in scanners, milling equipment, software, and maintenance may be substantial. However, digital workflows can potentially reduce laboratory turnaround time, material waste, physical transportation, and repeated procedures. The economic benefit therefore depends on practice volume, equipment utilization, workflow organization, and maintenance costs.

Another important issue is interoperability. Digital dentistry increasingly involves multiple software platforms and devices. Compatibility between scanning systems, CAD programs, milling machines, printers, and laboratory software can influence workflow efficiency. Open digital formats and standardized communication protocols may facilitate integration between different systems.

The long-term clinical evidence for digital prosthodontics continues to expand. While many CAD/CAM restorations demonstrate favorable medium- and long-term performance, outcomes vary according to restoration type, material, location, manufacturing method, and patient characteristics. Therefore, broad claims that digital restorations are universally superior to conventional restorations should be avoided.

The most appropriate interpretation is that CAD/CAM technology provides a powerful set of tools that can improve consistency, efficiency, communication, and reproducibility when used within a clinically sound treatment protocol. Technology should support rather than replace prosthodontic diagnosis and clinical judgment.

Future developments are likely to focus on fully integrated digital ecosystems combining intraoral scanning, facial scanning, cone-beam computed tomography, virtual articulators, artificial intelligence, CAD design, and additive manufacturing. Such integration may facilitate comprehensive digital patient models and more individualized prosthodontic rehabilitation.

5. Conclusion

Digital CAD/CAM technologies have become an important component of modern prosthodontic rehabilitation. Their clinical value is based on the ability to integrate digital data acquisition, virtual treatment planning, computer-assisted design, and standardized manufacturing into a coordinated workflow.

The available evidence indicates that properly implemented CAD/CAM systems can provide accurate restorations, efficient communication, predictable morphology, improved workflow organization, and favorable clinical performance. Digital impressions can improve patient comfort and allow immediate evaluation of captured data, while CAD software provides greater control over restoration design and manufacturing.

Long-term outcomes depend on multiple interacting factors. Restoration material, preparation quality, marginal and internal adaptation, occlusal loading, cementation, periodontal health, oral hygiene, parafunctional activity, and regular maintenance all influence clinical longevity. Therefore, technological precision alone should not be considered a guarantee of long-term success.

Digital workflows are particularly valuable when they are integrated with established prosthodontic principles. Careful diagnosis, appropriate treatment planning, conservative tooth preparation, accurate occlusal management, correct material selection, and professional maintenance remain essential despite technological advances.

The future of CAD/CAM prosthodontics is likely to involve greater integration of artificial intelligence, advanced scanning, high-performance restorative materials, additive manufacturing, and comprehensive digital patient records. These developments may improve personalization and further reduce workflow variability.

Ultimately, the clinical effectiveness of digital CAD/CAM technology should be evaluated not only by manufacturing accuracy but also by biological health, functional performance, esthetic stability, patient satisfaction, and restoration survival over time. When appropriately selected and professionally controlled, digital CAD/CAM systems can provide a reliable foundation for predictable and patient-centered prosthodontic rehabilitation.

References

1.Mörmann WH. The evolution of the CEREC system. Journal of the American Dental Association. 2006;137(Suppl):7S–13S.

2.Miyazaki T, Hotta Y, Kunii J, Kuriyama S, Tamaki Y. A review of dental CAD/CAM: current status and future perspectives from 20 years of experience. Dental Materials Journal. 2009;28(1):44–56.

3.van Noort R. The future of dental devices is digital. Dental Materials. 2012;28(1):3–12.

4.Fasbinder DJ. Computerized technology for restorative dentistry. American Journal of Dentistry. 2013;26(2):115–120.

5.Alghazzawi TF. Advancements in CAD/CAM technology: options for practical implementation. Journal of Prosthodontic Research. 2016;60(2):72–84.

6.Mangano F, Gandolfi A, Luongo G, Logozzo S. Intraoral scanners in dentistry: a review of the current literature. BMC Oral Health. 2017;17:149.

7.Sailer I, Makarov NA, Thoma DS, Zwahlen M. All-ceramic or metal-ceramic tooth-supported fixed dental prostheses: a systematic review of the survival and complication rates. Dental Materials. 2015;31(6):603–623.

8.Revilla-León M, Özcan M. Additive manufacturing technologies used for processing polymers: current status and potential application in prosthetic dentistry. Journal of Prosthodontics. 2019;28(2):146–158.

9.Joda T, Ferrari M, Gallucci GO, Wittneben JG, Brägger U. Digital technology in fixed implant prosthodontics. Periodontology 2000. 2017;73(1):178–192.

10.Al-Haj Husain N, Özcan M. Additive manufacturing in dentistry: a review of the current technologies and applications. Clinical Oral Investigations. 2021;25:637–655

Indexed & Abstracted In

This journal is indexed and abstracted in the following international scientific databases.

Google Scholar

ISSN

ORCID

CiteFactor

ResearchBib

DOI

Zenodo

Crossref

Grammarly

Article Verification

Scan the QR code to verify the authenticity of this article

DOI: 10.410/aams.0498