AAMS Psychiatry & Behavioral Health
ISSN: 2834-7210 (Print) | eISSN: 2834-7211 (Online)
Volume 02, Issue 06, Pages 16-30
DOI: 10.4103/aams.0498
ORIGINAL ARTICLE

CLASSIFICATION, MECHANICAL CHARACTERISTICS, AND CLINICAL EFFECTIVENESS OF FILLED POLYMER RESTORATIVE MATERIALS IN POSTERIOR TOOTH RESTORATION

Sodikova Shoira Amriddinovna[1]; Saidazimova Azizaxon[2]; Tursunbayev Ozodbek[2]; Gulyamuxammedova Shaxinbonu[2]

[1]Scientific Supervisor, Department of Therapeutic Dentistry, Samarkand State Medical University, Samarkand, Uzbekistan [2]Faculty of Dentistry, Samarkand State Medical University, 1st-Year Students, Group 116, Samarkand, Uzbekistan

Received: 2026-04-16 · Accepted: 2026-05-18

Abstract

Filled polymer restorative materials have become the cornerstone of modern restorative dentistry due to their excellent esthetic properties, adhesive capabilities, and continuously improving mechanical performance. Posterior teeth are exposed to significant masticatory forces, thermal fluctuations, and mechanical stress, creating demanding conditions for restorative materials. The development of filled polymer composites has revolutionized posterior tooth restoration by providing materials capable of restoring function while preserving natural tooth structure and maintaining esthetic appearance. Advances in filler technology, resin matrix composition, polymerization chemistry, and nanotechnology have significantly enhanced the strength, wear resistance, fracture toughness, and longevity of these materials. Contemporary restorative systems include microfilled, hybrid, microhybrid, nanohybrid, nanofilled, bulk-fill, and fiber-reinforced composites, each possessing distinct mechanical and clinical characteristics. Material selection depends on cavity size, occlusal load, esthetic requirements, and long-term functional expectations. Understanding the classification and performance of filled polymer restorative materials is essential for achieving predictable clinical outcomes. This review evaluates current classifications, mechanical properties, and clinical effectiveness of filled polymer restoratives used in posterior tooth rehabilitation, emphasizing recent developments that contribute to improved durability, patient satisfaction, and restoration longevity.

Keywords: Filled polymer restorative materials, composite resins, posterior restorations, nanohybrid composites, bulk-fill composites, mechanical properties, wear resistance, restorative dentistry, polymer matrix, dental biomaterials.

1. Introduction

The restoration of posterior teeth presents a significant challenge in restorative dentistry because these teeth are responsible for withstanding substantial occlusal forces generated during mastication. Restorative materials used in posterior regions must possess excellent mechanical strength, dimensional stability, wear resistance, fracture toughness, and biocompatibility while simultaneously preserving natural tooth appearance. Historically, metallic restorations such as dental amalgam dominated posterior restorative treatment because of their durability and resistance to occlusal stress. However, increasing esthetic demands, concerns regarding mercury-containing materials, and advances in adhesive dentistry have accelerated the transition toward tooth-colored restorative systems.

Filled polymer restorative materials, commonly referred to as composite resins, consist of an organic resin matrix reinforced by inorganic filler particles connected through silane coupling agents. This combination allows optimization of both mechanical and esthetic properties. Continuous improvements in filler composition and particle size distribution have significantly enhanced material performance, enabling widespread application in posterior restorations where mechanical demands are greatest.

The evolution of composite materials has progressed through multiple generations. Early formulations demonstrated satisfactory esthetics but limited durability due to insufficient wear resistance and polymerization shrinkage. Modern composites incorporate advanced filler technologies, nanostructured particles, optimized polymer matrices, and improved curing systems that address many of these limitations. Consequently, contemporary restorative materials exhibit greater strength, reduced shrinkage stress, improved polish retention, and enhanced resistance to degradation within the oral environment.

Classification of filled polymer restorative materials provides a structured framework for understanding their clinical applications and performance characteristics. Different categories exhibit varying mechanical behavior, handling properties, polymerization patterns, and clinical indications. Selection of the most appropriate material requires careful evaluation of both material characteristics and patient-specific factors.

Recent developments in biomaterial science have further expanded restorative possibilities through the introduction of bulk-fill composites, fiber-reinforced systems, bioactive restorative materials, and nanotechnology-enhanced formulations. These innovations continue to improve restoration longevity and support minimally invasive treatment principles. Understanding the classification, mechanical properties, and clinical effectiveness of these materials remains essential for evidence-based restorative decision-making. Restoration of posterior teeth remains one of the most demanding procedures in restorative dentistry because these teeth are responsible for absorbing and distributing substantial masticatory forces generated during normal oral function. Molars and premolars are exposed to repetitive compressive, tensile, and shear stresses that may compromise restorative integrity if materials do not possess adequate mechanical characteristics. Successful posterior restorations must not only restore lost tooth structure but also maintain long-term structural stability, preserve occlusal function, prevent marginal leakage, and provide acceptable esthetic outcomes. These requirements have driven continuous innovation in restorative material science and have contributed to the widespread adoption of filled polymer restorative systems.

The development of composite restorative materials has transformed clinical practice by offering tooth-colored alternatives capable of achieving both functional and esthetic objectives. Early composite materials provided satisfactory appearance but were often limited by inadequate wear resistance, polymerization shrinkage, and insufficient strength for extensive posterior applications. Progressive improvements in material composition have significantly addressed these limitations through incorporation of advanced filler particles, optimized resin matrices, improved coupling agents, and enhanced curing technologies.

Classification of filled polymer restorative materials is primarily based on filler particle size, filler loading, structural composition, and intended clinical application. Each category possesses distinct physical, mechanical, and handling characteristics that influence clinical performance. Microfilled materials offer excellent surface polish but limited strength, whereas hybrid and nanohybrid systems provide a balance between esthetics and durability. Bulk-fill composites simplify placement procedures by allowing thicker increments, while fiber-reinforced materials offer enhanced resistance to fracture in high-stress situations.

The emergence of nanotechnology has further accelerated progress in restorative dentistry by enabling development of materials with superior mechanical properties and improved optical characteristics. Nanofillers increase filler loading efficiency, enhance polish retention, reduce wear, and improve fracture resistance without compromising esthetic quality. Such advancements have

expanded indications for direct posterior restorations and contributed to greater longevity under functional conditions.
Contemporary restorative dentistry increasingly emphasizes minimally invasive principles, preservation of healthy dental tissues, and biomimetic restoration of natural tooth function. Filled polymer restorative materials play a central role in achieving these objectives and continue to evolve through ongoing research and technological innovation.

2. Materials and Methods

This study was conducted through a comprehensive review of contemporary scientific literature, clinical investigations, laboratory studies, systematic reviews, and restorative dentistry guidelines focusing on filled polymer restorative materials used in posterior tooth restoration.
The reviewed materials included research evaluating composite classification systems, filler composition, polymer matrix characteristics, polymerization behavior, wear resistance, compressive strength, flexural strength, fracture resistance, elastic modulus, marginal adaptation, and clinical survival rates. Laboratory investigations assessing mechanical performance under simulated oral conditions were also examined.
Clinical studies involving posterior restorations using microfilled, hybrid, microhybrid, nanofilled, nanohybrid, bulk-fill, and fiber-reinforced composites were analyzed. Comparative evaluation focused on restoration longevity, secondary caries incidence, marginal integrity, postoperative sensitivity, fracture occurrence, esthetic stability, and patient satisfaction.
Data synthesis was performed to identify current trends in material development and determine the clinical effectiveness of various filled polymer restorative systems in posterior dental rehabilitation.

3. Results

Analysis of available evidence demonstrated substantial improvements in the mechanical and clinical performance of contemporary filled polymer restorative materials. Classification based on filler particle size and composition identified several major categories, including microfilled composites, hybrid composites, microhybrid composites, nanofilled composites, nanohybrid composites, bulk-fill composites, and fiber-reinforced restorative systems.
Microfilled composites exhibited excellent polishability and esthetic appearance but demonstrated lower mechanical strength compared with other categories. Hybrid and microhybrid composites showed balanced mechanical and esthetic characteristics, making them suitable for a broad range of posterior restorative applications. Nanofilled and nanohybrid composites displayed superior wear resistance, enhanced polish retention, improved fracture toughness, and excellent esthetic integration with natural dental tissues.
Mechanical testing revealed that filler content strongly influenced compressive strength, flexural resistance, elastic modulus, and fracture behavior. Materials containing higher filler concentrations generally demonstrated improved resistance to occlusal loading and reduced deformation under stress. Bulk-fill composites exhibited favorable depth of cure and simplified placement procedures while maintaining adequate mechanical performance for posterior restorations.
Clinical investigations reported high survival rates for modern composite restorations when appropriate adhesive protocols and placement techniques were utilized. Nanohybrid and bulk-fill materials demonstrated particularly favorable long-term outcomes, with reduced marginal deterioration and satisfactory resistance to occlusal wear. Fiber-reinforced composites showed enhanced fracture resistance and potential benefits in large stress-bearing restorations.
Overall findings indicated that advances in filler technology and resin chemistry have significantly improved restoration durability and expanded the clinical applicability of filled polymer restorative materials in posterior dentistry. Evaluation of contemporary laboratory and clinical investigations demonstrated that filled polymer restorative materials exhibit substantial variability in mechanical behavior depending on filler composition, particle morphology, resin matrix formulation, and polymerization characteristics. Materials containing higher filler concentrations consistently displayed greater compressive strength, increased flexural resistance, improved elastic modulus, and enhanced resistance to occlusal deformation. These characteristics are particularly important in posterior restorations where continuous functional loading creates significant mechanical challenges.
Nanohybrid and nanofilled restorative materials demonstrated superior overall performance

compared with earlier generations of composites. Their optimized filler distribution contributed to improved wear resistance, enhanced fracture toughness, reduced surface degradation, and greater preservation of anatomical morphology during long-term clinical service. These materials also exhibited favorable esthetic properties, including improved translucency, color stability, and polish retention, supporting both functional and cosmetic objectives.

Clinical studies reported high survival rates for posterior restorations fabricated with contemporary composite systems. Long-term evaluations revealed acceptable marginal adaptation, minimal postoperative sensitivity, satisfactory occlusal stability, and low rates of catastrophic failure when appropriate adhesive protocols were utilized. Secondary caries and restoration fracture remained among the most common causes of restoration replacement, although their incidence was significantly reduced through advances in adhesive technology and material formulation.

Bulk-fill restorative materials demonstrated effective depth of cure and reduced chairside treatment time while maintaining mechanical properties suitable for posterior applications. These materials showed favorable adaptation to cavity walls and reduced technique sensitivity compared with traditional incremental placement approaches. Fiber-reinforced composites exhibited particularly high fracture resistance and improved stress distribution characteristics, making them valuable for restoration of extensive structural defects and high-load-bearing areas.

Overall findings indicated that modern filled polymer restorative materials successfully meet the biomechanical and esthetic requirements of posterior restorations and provide predictable long-term clinical outcomes when used according to established restorative principles.

4. Discussion

The findings confirm that filled polymer restorative materials have evolved into highly sophisticated biomaterials capable of meeting the functional and esthetic demands associated with posterior tooth restoration. Improvements in filler technology represent one of the most important factors contributing to enhanced material performance. Increased filler loading and optimized particle distribution have strengthened restorative materials while reducing polymerization shrinkage and improving resistance to mechanical degradation.

Nanotechnology has played a particularly important role in advancing restorative dentistry. Incorporation of nanoscale filler particles has enabled simultaneous enhancement of strength, polishability, wear resistance, and optical properties. These improvements have narrowed the performance gap between esthetic restorative materials and traditional metallic restorations, supporting broader application of composite systems in stress-bearing regions.

Bulk-fill restorative materials have addressed several clinical challenges associated with incremental placement techniques. By permitting placement in thicker layers while maintaining acceptable polymerization characteristics, these materials reduce treatment time and procedural complexity. Clinical evidence suggests that properly selected bulk-fill systems provide performance comparable to conventional incremental composite restorations.

Despite these advancements, restoration longevity remains influenced by numerous factors beyond material composition alone. Cavity design, adhesive technique, occlusal loading patterns, oral hygiene status, dietary habits, operator skill, and patient compliance all contribute to clinical success. Consequently, optimal outcomes require careful integration of material selection with evidence-based restorative procedures.

Future developments are expected to focus on bioactive restorative materials capable of releasing therapeutic ions, promoting remineralization, inhibiting bacterial colonization, and interacting positively with surrounding dental tissues. Advances in polymer chemistry, artificial intelligence-assisted material design, and biomimetic engineering may further improve restoration durability and biological compatibility.

5. Conclusion

Filled polymer restorative materials have become essential components of modern posterior restorative dentistry due to their ability to combine esthetic appearance with increasingly sophisticated mechanical performance. Classification systems based on filler composition and particle characteristics provide valuable guidance for material selection in different clinical situations. Contemporary nanohybrid, nanofilled, bulk-fill, and fiber-reinforced composites demonstrate excellent mechanical properties, including improved wear resistance, fracture toughness, compressive strength, and long-term stability. Clinical evidence supports their effectiveness in posterior restorations when appropriate adhesive and restorative protocols are followed. Continuous advances in biomaterial science continue to enhance restorative outcomes and expand treatment possibilities. Future innovations emphasizing bioactivity, durability, and biomimetic functionality are expected to further improve the quality and longevity of posterior tooth restorations.

References

1. Ferracane JL. Resin Composite—State of the Art.

2. Sakaguchi RL, Powers JM. Craig's Restorative Dental Materials.

3. Ilie N, Hickel R. Resin Composite Restorative Materials.

4. Opdam NJM, van de Sande FH, Bronkhorst E, Cenci MS. Longevity of Posterior Composite Restorations.

5. Demarco FF, Corrêa MB, Cenci MS, Moraes RR, Opdam NJM. Composite Restorations Longevity.

6. Leprince JG, Palin WM, Vanacker J, Sabbagh J, Devaux J, Leloup G. Physico-Mechanical Characteristics of Dental Composites.

7. Mitra SB, Wu D, Holmes BN. Nanocomposite Technology in Restorative Dentistry.

8. Cramer NB, Stansbury JW, Bowman CN. Recent Advances in Composite Dental Materials.

9. Chesterman J, Jowett A, Gallacher A, Nixon P. Bulk-Fill Resin-Based Composites.

10. Garoushi S, Vallittu PK, Lassila LVJ. Fiber-Reinforced Composite Restorative Materials.

Indexed & Abstracted In

This journal is indexed and abstracted in the following international scientific databases.

Google Scholar

ISSN

ORCID

CiteFactor

ResearchBib

DOI

Zenodo

Crossref

Grammarly

Article Verification

Scan the QR code to verify the authenticity of this article

DOI: 10.4103/aams.0498