AAMS Dentistry & Oral Sciences
ISSN: 2834-7120 (Print) | eISSN: 2834-7121 (Online)
Volume 07, Issue 06, Pages 1-15
DOI: 10.4103/aams.0498
ORIGINAL ARTICLE

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS OF VARIOUS TOOTH-PRESERVING SURGICAL METHODS IN THE COMPLEX TREATMENT OF FOCAL PERIODONTAL LESIONS

Iskhakova Z.[1], Normuradov N.[2],

Samarkand State Medical University, Department of oral surgery and dental implantology, PhD[1] 1-year master, Samarkand State Medical University[2]

Received: 2026-03-27 · Accepted: 2026-05-12

Abstract

Focal periodontal lesions represent a significant challenge in contemporary periodontology because they involve localized destruction of periodontal tissues, progressive alveolar bone loss, formation of periodontal pockets, and eventual compromise of tooth stability. Preservation of natural dentition remains a primary objective of modern dental treatment, making tooth-preserving surgical interventions an essential component of comprehensive periodontal therapy. Various surgical approaches have been developed to eliminate infection, regenerate lost periodontal structures, improve attachment levels, and restore functional integrity. These include open flap debridement, guided tissue regeneration, bone grafting procedures, regenerative periodontal surgery, root resection techniques, and minimally invasive microsurgical interventions. The effectiveness of these procedures depends on defect morphology, disease severity, patient-related factors, and postoperative maintenance. Recent advances in regenerative biomaterials, growth factors, and microsurgical techniques have significantly enhanced treatment outcomes. Comparative evaluation of different tooth-preserving methods is necessary for identifying optimal therapeutic strategies and improving long-term prognosis. This study analyzes the clinical effectiveness, regenerative potential, and functional outcomes of various tooth-preserving surgical approaches used in the complex management of focal periodontal lesions. The findings demonstrate that modern regenerative procedures achieve superior clinical attachment gain, greater bone fill, reduced pocket depth, and improved long-term tooth retention compared with conventional surgical techniques.

Keywords: Periodontal lesions, tooth-preserving surgery, periodontal regeneration, guided tissue regeneration, bone grafting, clinical attachment level, periodontal therapy, regenerative surgery, alveolar bone loss, periodontal treatment.

1. Introduction

Periodontal diseases remain among the most prevalent chronic inflammatory conditions affecting the oral cavity and represent a major cause of tooth loss in adult populations worldwide. Focal periodontal lesions are characterized by localized destruction of supporting periodontal structures, including gingiva, periodontal ligament, cementum, and alveolar bone. These lesions frequently develop as a

consequence of bacterial biofilm accumulation, host inflammatory responses, traumatic occlusal forces, systemic risk factors, and environmental influences. Progressive tissue destruction ultimately leads to attachment loss, deep periodontal pockets, increased tooth mobility, functional impairment, and compromised oral health-related quality of life.

Historically, management of advanced periodontal defects often involved extraction of severely affected teeth followed by prosthetic replacement. However, contemporary periodontology increasingly emphasizes preservation of natural dentition whenever possible. Advances in periodontal surgery, regenerative medicine, biomaterial science, and tissue engineering have significantly expanded therapeutic possibilities and improved the prognosis of teeth previously considered hopeless. Preservation of natural teeth offers numerous advantages, including maintenance of proprioception, preservation of alveolar bone architecture, improved masticatory efficiency, and enhanced patient satisfaction.

Various tooth-preserving surgical techniques have been introduced to address localized periodontal destruction. Conventional procedures such as open flap debridement primarily focus on elimination of inflammatory tissue and reduction of periodontal pockets. In contrast, regenerative approaches seek to restore lost periodontal structures through stimulation of new bone formation, periodontal ligament regeneration, and reestablishment of connective tissue attachment. Techniques including guided tissue regeneration, bone grafting, application of biologically active molecules, enamel matrix derivatives, and minimally invasive surgical procedures have demonstrated promising clinical outcomes.

The selection of an appropriate surgical approach depends on numerous factors, including defect morphology, depth of periodontal destruction, furcation involvement, systemic health status, smoking habits, oral hygiene practices, and patient compliance. Understanding the comparative effectiveness of available treatment modalities is essential for evidence-based clinical decision-making and optimization of therapeutic outcomes.

This study aims to evaluate and compare the results of different tooth-preserving surgical interventions used in the comprehensive treatment of focal periodontal lesions, emphasizing their influence on periodontal regeneration, functional recovery, and long-term tooth survival. Periodontal diseases constitute one of the most prevalent chronic inflammatory conditions affecting the adult population and remain a major cause of tooth loss worldwide. Among the various manifestations of periodontal pathology, focal periodontal lesions present unique diagnostic and therapeutic challenges because destruction is often concentrated in specific anatomical regions while adjacent tissues may remain relatively preserved. These localized defects frequently result from a combination of microbial accumulation, host inflammatory responses, anatomical predispositions, traumatic occlusal influences, systemic risk factors, and environmental contributors. Progressive tissue breakdown leads to loss of periodontal attachment, resorption of alveolar bone, formation of periodontal pockets, increased tooth mobility, and eventual compromise of masticatory function.

The traditional management of severe localized periodontal destruction often involved extraction of affected teeth due to limited regenerative possibilities. However, advances in periodontal biology and surgical technology have transformed treatment objectives from simple disease control toward preservation and regeneration of natural supporting structures. Contemporary clinicians increasingly prioritize maintenance of natural teeth because preservation of the original dentition contributes to superior proprioception, functional efficiency, alveolar bone maintenance, and overall patient satisfaction compared with prosthetic replacement options.

Tooth-preserving surgical interventions have evolved considerably over recent decades. Conventional surgical approaches were primarily designed to improve access for debridement and facilitate elimination of inflammatory tissues. While effective in reducing microbial burden and pocket depth, these procedures often resulted in healing through repair rather than regeneration. The emergence of regenerative periodontal therapy introduced new possibilities for restoring periodontal ligament fibers, alveolar bone, and connective tissue attachment. Techniques such as guided tissue regeneration, bone augmentation procedures, biologically active materials, and microsurgical approaches have demonstrated encouraging results in numerous clinical studies.

Successful treatment requires a thorough understanding of defect anatomy, biological healing mechanisms, and factors influencing regenerative outcomes. The morphology of periodontal defects, vascular supply, patient systemic health, smoking habits, plaque control effectiveness, and maintenance compliance all contribute significantly to treatment success. Consequently, careful

selection of appropriate surgical techniques is essential for maximizing clinical outcomes.
As regenerative concepts continue to evolve, comparative evaluation of different tooth-preserving surgical methods becomes increasingly important. Such analyses provide valuable information regarding effectiveness, predictability, and long-term prognosis, supporting evidence-based treatment planning and improving patient outcomes.

2. Materials and Methods

This study was conducted through a comprehensive review of scientific literature, clinical investigations, randomized controlled trials, systematic reviews, and contemporary periodontal treatment guidelines addressing tooth-preserving surgical management of focal periodontal lesions. The reviewed materials included studies evaluating conventional periodontal flap surgery, open flap debridement, guided tissue regeneration procedures, autogenous and alloplastic bone grafting techniques, regenerative biomaterials, enamel matrix derivative applications, microsurgical interventions, and combined regenerative treatment approaches. Research examining periodontal pocket reduction, clinical attachment gain, radiographic bone fill, tooth mobility, gingival health, and long-term treatment stability was included in the analysis.
Clinical outcomes were compared across different surgical methods based on standardized periodontal parameters. Additional factors such as postoperative healing, patient comfort, complication rates, esthetic outcomes, and long-term tooth retention were also evaluated. Data synthesis was performed to identify treatment modalities associated with the most favorable regenerative and functional results.

3. Results

Analysis of available evidence demonstrated significant differences in treatment outcomes among various tooth-preserving surgical approaches. Conventional open flap debridement effectively reduced periodontal inflammation and decreased probing pocket depth; however, its capacity to regenerate lost periodontal structures remained limited. Clinical improvements were generally associated with pocket reduction rather than true regeneration of supporting tissues.
Guided tissue regeneration procedures demonstrated substantially greater regenerative potential. Studies consistently reported significant gains in clinical attachment levels, enhanced radiographic bone fill, and improved periodontal stability compared with conventional surgical approaches. Barrier membranes effectively promoted selective cellular repopulation of periodontal defects and facilitated regeneration of periodontal ligament and alveolar bone structures.
Bone grafting techniques utilizing autogenous, allogenic, xenogenic, and synthetic graft materials produced favorable regenerative outcomes, particularly in intrabony defects. Greater defect fill and improved structural support were observed following graft placement. Combined regenerative approaches incorporating graft materials with barrier membranes demonstrated even greater effectiveness, suggesting synergistic effects between regenerative components.
Application of enamel matrix derivatives and biologically active regenerative agents further enhanced periodontal healing. These materials promoted cellular differentiation, stimulated tissue regeneration, and improved attachment formation. Clinical studies reported superior outcomes regarding attachment gain and defect resolution when biologically active regenerative materials were incorporated into surgical protocols.
Minimally invasive periodontal surgery demonstrated favorable healing responses, reduced patient discomfort, decreased postoperative morbidity, and excellent esthetic outcomes. Microsurgical approaches preserved vascular supply and minimized tissue trauma, contributing to enhanced wound stability and predictable regenerative results.
Long-term evaluations revealed that regenerative procedures achieved higher tooth survival rates and greater maintenance of periodontal health compared with conventional surgical treatment alone. The most favorable outcomes were observed when regenerative techniques were combined with comprehensive supportive periodontal therapy and effective plaque control measures. Evaluation of available clinical and radiographic evidence demonstrated considerable differences in outcomes among the various tooth-preserving surgical methods utilized in the management of focal periodontal lesions. Conventional open flap procedures consistently achieved significant reductions in periodontal inflammation and probing depths through improved access for root instrumentation and elimination

of granulation tissue. However, gains in clinical attachment were generally modest, and radiographic evidence of substantial periodontal regeneration remained limited.

Regenerative surgical approaches produced markedly superior outcomes across multiple clinical parameters. Guided tissue regeneration procedures consistently demonstrated greater attachment level improvements, enhanced defect resolution, and more pronounced radiographic bone formation. The selective repopulation of periodontal defects by regenerative cell populations contributed to restoration of lost supporting structures and improved periodontal stability. Clinical studies frequently reported significant improvements in both functional and structural parameters following these interventions.

Bone grafting techniques also demonstrated substantial regenerative potential. Defects treated with autogenous, allogenic, xenogenic, or synthetic graft materials exhibited increased bone fill and improved support for affected teeth. Combined approaches utilizing both grafting materials and barrier membranes generally produced more favorable outcomes than either modality alone. These combined therapies promoted greater defect maturation and improved tissue organization during healing.

Biologically active regenerative agents, including enamel matrix derivatives and growth factor-based therapies, further enhanced treatment effectiveness. These materials stimulated cellular proliferation, differentiation, and extracellular matrix formation, contributing to more predictable regenerative responses. Sites treated with biologically enhanced protocols frequently demonstrated greater attachment gain and more complete defect resolution compared with conventional treatment methods.

Minimally invasive and microsurgical approaches yielded favorable patient-centered outcomes. Reduced surgical trauma, improved wound stability, decreased postoperative discomfort, and accelerated healing were consistently reported. These techniques also demonstrated excellent soft tissue preservation and improved esthetic outcomes, particularly in anatomically sensitive regions.

Long-term follow-up studies indicated that regenerative procedures generally achieved higher tooth retention rates and greater stability of clinical results over time. Maintenance of periodontal health was significantly enhanced when surgical interventions were combined with comprehensive supportive care programs and effective plaque control measures.

4. Discussion

The findings emphasize the importance of regenerative principles in modern periodontal surgery and highlight the limitations of conventional approaches focused solely on disease elimination. While traditional flap procedures effectively reduce inflammation and facilitate access for debridement, their capacity to restore destroyed periodontal structures remains restricted. Consequently, increasing attention has been directed toward therapeutic strategies capable of achieving true periodontal regeneration.

Guided tissue regeneration has emerged as one of the most extensively investigated regenerative approaches. By selectively excluding undesirable cellular populations and promoting colonization by regenerative cells, barrier membranes create conditions favorable for reconstruction of lost periodontal tissues. Numerous clinical studies support the effectiveness of this technique in achieving attachment gain and radiographic bone regeneration, particularly in well-contained intrabony defects.

Bone grafting procedures provide structural scaffolds that support new tissue formation and contribute to defect stabilization. The effectiveness of grafting materials depends on their osteoconductive, osteoinductive, and osteogenic characteristics. Contemporary biomaterials have significantly improved regenerative outcomes and expanded treatment options for complex periodontal defects.

The integration of biological mediators such as enamel matrix derivatives and growth factors represents an important advancement in regenerative therapy. These agents actively influence cellular behavior and enhance natural healing processes. Their use reflects the transition from purely mechanical treatment approaches toward biologically driven regenerative strategies.

Minimally invasive surgical techniques have also gained considerable attention due to their ability to reduce tissue trauma and improve patient-centered outcomes. Preservation of vascular integrity, reduced postoperative discomfort, and accelerated healing contribute to greater treatment acceptance and improved clinical predictability.

Despite significant progress, successful periodontal regeneration remains dependent upon multiple

factors including patient compliance, oral hygiene practices, smoking status, systemic health conditions, and long-term maintenance care. Regenerative procedures cannot achieve optimal outcomes without effective control of etiological factors and continued supportive therapy. Future developments in tissue engineering, stem cell therapy, biomimetic materials, and molecular regenerative medicine may further enhance the effectiveness of tooth-preserving surgical interventions. The findings clearly demonstrate that contemporary periodontal surgery has progressed far beyond traditional concepts focused solely on infection elimination and pocket reduction. Modern regenerative approaches aim to reconstruct the complex architecture of periodontal tissues and restore biological function through stimulation of natural healing mechanisms. This paradigm shift reflects a deeper understanding of periodontal wound healing and the cellular processes responsible for tissue regeneration.

One of the most important observations is the superior performance of regenerative procedures compared with conventional surgical techniques. While flap surgery remains effective for reducing inflammation and improving access to root surfaces, its regenerative capacity is inherently limited. Healing frequently occurs through formation of long junctional epithelium rather than true regeneration of periodontal attachment apparatus. In contrast, regenerative interventions actively encourage restoration of bone, periodontal ligament, and connective tissue structures, leading to more stable and biologically favorable outcomes.

The effectiveness of guided tissue regeneration highlights the importance of cellular selectivity during periodontal healing. By preventing migration of undesirable epithelial cells into healing defects, barrier membranes create an environment conducive to regeneration by periodontal ligament and bone-forming cells. This biological principle has become a cornerstone of modern regenerative therapy and continues to influence development of advanced treatment protocols.

The incorporation of biomaterials and biological mediators has further expanded regenerative possibilities. Bone graft substitutes provide structural support and serve as scaffolds for new tissue formation, while biologically active agents directly influence cellular activity and tissue differentiation. Their combined use reflects an increasingly sophisticated approach to periodontal reconstruction that integrates mechanical stabilization with biological stimulation.

Minimally invasive surgical concepts represent another significant advancement. Preservation of vascular integrity and reduction of tissue trauma contribute to improved wound healing and greater patient acceptance. These techniques align with contemporary trends toward less invasive treatment and enhanced patient-centered care.

Despite considerable progress, regenerative success remains influenced by numerous variables. Patient behavior, systemic health conditions, smoking status, plaque control, defect configuration, and postoperative maintenance all affect treatment outcomes. Therefore, successful therapy requires not only technical excellence but also comprehensive management of contributing risk factors. Future developments involving stem cell therapies, advanced growth factors, biomimetic scaffolds, and tissue engineering technologies may further improve regenerative predictability and expand the possibilities of tooth preservation.

5. Conclusion

Tooth-preserving surgical methods play a crucial role in the comprehensive management of focal periodontal lesions and contribute significantly to the preservation of natural dentition. Comparative analysis demonstrates that regenerative approaches provide superior clinical outcomes compared with conventional surgical procedures. Guided tissue regeneration, bone grafting, biologically active regenerative agents, and minimally invasive microsurgical techniques achieve greater attachment gain, enhanced bone regeneration, improved periodontal stability, and higher long-term tooth survival rates. The combination of regenerative procedures with effective infection control and supportive periodontal therapy offers the most predictable treatment outcomes. Continued advances in biomaterials, regenerative biology, and surgical techniques are expected to further improve therapeutic success and expand opportunities for preserving teeth affected by advanced periodontal destruction. Comparative analysis of tooth-preserving surgical methods demonstrates that regenerative approaches provide the most favorable outcomes in the comprehensive treatment of focal periodontal lesions. Procedures based on guided tissue regeneration, bone grafting, biological mediators, and minimally invasive surgical techniques consistently achieve greater clinical attachment gain, enhanced bone regeneration, improved periodontal stability, and superior long-term tooth

retention compared with conventional surgical methods. The integration of regenerative biomaterials and biologically active agents has significantly increased treatment predictability and expanded therapeutic possibilities for preserving teeth affected by localized periodontal destruction. Long-term success depends not only on surgical technique but also on effective control of etiological factors, patient compliance, and ongoing supportive periodontal therapy. Continued advances in regenerative medicine, biomaterial science, and tissue engineering are expected to further enhance clinical outcomes and strengthen the role of tooth-preserving strategies in modern periodontal practice

References

[1] Cortellini P, Tonetti MS. Clinical concepts for regenerative therapy in intrabony defects. Periodontol 2000. 2015;68(1):282–307.

[2] Reynolds MA, Aichelmann-Reidy ME, Branch-Mays GL, Gunsolley JC. The efficacy of bone replacement grafts in the treatment of periodontal osseous defects. Ann Periodontol. 2003;8(1):227–265.

[3] Sculean A, Nikolidakis D, Schwarz F. Regeneration of periodontal tissues: combinations of barrier membranes and grafting materials. Clin Oral Investig. 2008;12(3):199–210.

[4] Nibali L, Koidou VP, Nieri M, Barbato L, Pagliaro U, Cairo F. Regenerative surgery versus access flap surgery in periodontal intrabony defects. J Clin Periodontol. 2020;47(Suppl 22):320–351.

[5] Cortellini P, Stalpers G, Mollo A, Tonetti MS. Periodontal regeneration of deep intrabony defects. J Clin Periodontol. 2011;38(11):1060–1067.

[6] Murphy KG, Gunsolley JC. Guided tissue regeneration for the treatment of periodontal intrabony and furcation defects. Ann Periodontol. 2003;8(1):266–302.

[7] Laurell L, Gottlow J, Zybutz M, Persson R. Treatment of intrabony periodontal defects by different surgical approaches. J Clin Periodontol. 1998;25(2):99–105.

[8] Trombelli L, Farina R, Franceschetti G, Calura G. Single-flap approach in periodontal regenerative surgery. J Periodontol. 2009;80(2):353–360.

[9] Cortellini P, Tonetti MS. Minimally invasive surgical technique and enamel matrix derivative in periodontal regeneration. J Periodontol. 2007;78(11):2030–2038.

[10] Sculean A, Windisch P, Keglevich T, et al. Clinical and histologic evaluation of human intrabony defects treated with enamel matrix proteins. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent. 2000;20(4):374–381.

[11] Needleman I, Tucker R, Giedrys-Leeper E, Worthington H. Guided tissue regeneration for periodontal infra-bony defects. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006;(2):CD001724.

[12] Tonetti MS, Jepsen S, Jin L, Otomo-Corgel J. Impact of periodontal regeneration on clinical outcomes. J Clin Periodontol. 2017;44(5):456–463.

[13] Froum SJ, Rosenberg ES. A comparative study of regenerative surgical techniques in periodontal therapy. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent. 2012;32(4):e127–e135.

[14] Lindhe J, Lang NP, Karring T. Clinical periodontology and implant dentistry. 7th ed. Wiley-Blackwell; 2022.

[15] Carranza FA, Newman MG, Takei HH, Klokkevold PR. Carranza's clinical periodontology. 14th ed. Elsevier; 2023.

[16] American Academy of Periodontology. Regenerative procedures in periodontal therapy: clinical recommendations. AAP; 2024.

[17] World Health Organization. Oral Health Fact Sheet. Geneva: WHO; 2025.

[18] Sanz M, Herrera D, Kebschull M, et al. Treatment of stage III–IV periodontitis. J Clin Periodontol. 2020;47(Suppl 22):4–60.

[19] Med1.uz. O‘choqli periodontal shikastlanishlarning etiologiyasi va patogenezi. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/p eriodontal-shikastlanishlar

[20] Med1.uz. Periodontal jarrohlikning zamonaviy usullari. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/p eriodontal-jarrohlik

[21] Med1.uz. Tishni saqlab qoluvchi operatsiyalarning klinik ahamiyati. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/t ish-saqlovchi-operatsiyalar

[22] Med1.uz. Yo‘naltirilgan to‘qima regeneratsiyasi usuli. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/g uided-tissue-regeneration

[23] Med1.uz. Periodontal nuqsonlarni suyak plastikalari bilan davolash. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/s uyak-plastikasi

[24] Med1.uz. Periodontologiyada minimal invaziv jarrohlik texnologiyalari. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/m inimal-invaziv

[25] Med1.uz. Periodontal kasalliklarni kompleks davolash tamoyillari. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/k ompleks-davolash

[26] Med1.uz. Parodontologiyada regenerativ texnologiyalar va klinik natijalar. Available from: https://med1.uz/articles/stomatologiya/r egenerativ-texnologiyalar

Indexed & Abstracted In

This journal is indexed and abstracted in the following international scientific databases.

Google Scholar

ISSN

ORCID

CiteFactor

ResearchBib

DOI

Zenodo

Crossref

Grammarly

Article Verification

Scan the QR code to verify the authenticity of this article

DOI: 10.4103/aams.0498