AAMS Internal Medicine & Therapeutics
ISSN: 2834-7102 (Print) | eISSN: 2834-7103 (Online)
Volume 07, Issue 05, Pages 106-120
DOI: 10.4103/aams.0498
ORIGINAL ARTICLE

CLASSIFICATION OF FILLING MATERIALS

Kholboeva Nasiba Asrorovna[1], Absamatova Mokhlaroyim[2], Temirov Muhammad[2].

Department of Therapeutic Dentistry of Samarkand State Medical University[1], students of Samarkand State Medical University[2],

Received: 2026-03-25 · Accepted: 2026-05-06

Abstract

Filling materials represent one of the most important components of restorative dentistry and play a crucial role in the preservation of dental tissues, restoration of function, and improvement of esthetics. The continuous development of dental biomaterials has led to the introduction of numerous restorative systems with different physical, chemical, mechanical, and biological properties. Proper selection of filling materials is essential for achieving long-term clinical success and ensuring compatibility with oral tissues. Dental restorative materials are classified according to their composition, method of polymerization, clinical application, esthetic properties, and functional characteristics. Modern materials include amalgams, composite resins, glass ionomer cements, resin-modified glass ionomers, compomers, ceramics, and bioactive restorative materials. Each category possesses unique advantages and limitations that influence clinical performance and treatment outcomes. Advances in adhesive technology and biomaterial science have significantly improved the durability, biocompatibility, wear resistance, and esthetic quality of contemporary restorations. The present study reviews the classification of filling materials, their properties, clinical indications, advantages, disadvantages, and their role in modern restorative dentistry. Understanding the characteristics of various restorative materials enables clinicians to make evidence-based decisions and optimize patient care. Continuous innovation in dental material science is expected to further enhance restorative treatment effectiveness and contribute to the preservation of natural dentition. The classification of filling materials constitutes a fundamental aspect of restorative dentistry and serves as the basis for selecting appropriate materials capable of restoring lost dental tissues while maintaining biological compatibility, functional efficiency, and esthetic appearance. The rapid development of dental biomaterials has significantly expanded the range of restorative options available to clinicians, resulting in materials with diverse physical, chemical, mechanical, and therapeutic characteristics. Modern restorative systems are designed not only to replace damaged tooth structures but also to preserve healthy tissues, enhance adhesion, reduce microleakage, and contribute to long-term oral health. Various categories of filling materials have been developed to address specific clinical requirements, including metallic restoratives, resin-based composites, glass ionomer cements, hybrid materials, ceramics, and bioactive restorative systems. Each group exhibits unique properties that influence clinical performance, longevity, wear resistance, fluoride release, esthetics, and patient satisfaction.

Keywords: Filling materials, dental restorations, composite resin, amalgam, glass ionomer cement, compomer, bioactive materials, restorative dentistry, dental biomaterials, adhesive systems.

1. Introduction

Restorative dentistry focuses on the diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of defects affecting dental hard tissues. One of the primary objectives of restorative treatment is the replacement of lost tooth structure using materials capable of restoring anatomical form, functional efficiency, and esthetic appearance. The success of dental restorations depends largely on the properties of the selected filling material and its ability to withstand the complex mechanical, thermal, chemical, and biological challenges present within the oral environment.

The history of filling materials reflects the evolution of dental science and technology. Early restorative procedures relied on relatively simple materials with limited durability and esthetic qualities. Over time, scientific advances led to the development of more sophisticated biomaterials designed to mimic the natural characteristics of dental tissues. Modern restorative materials are expected not only to replace missing tooth structure but also to provide biocompatibility, marginal integrity, resistance to wear, dimensional stability, and long-term functional performance.

Dental filling materials can be classified according to several criteria. Classification based on composition remains one of the most commonly used systems and includes metallic, polymeric, ceramic, and hybrid materials. Additional classifications consider curing mechanisms, clinical indications, adhesion properties, and esthetic characteristics. Each category exhibits distinct physical and biological properties that influence its clinical application.

The increasing demand for minimally invasive and esthetic treatment has accelerated the development of adhesive restorative materials. Composite resins and glass ionomer-based materials have become widely used due to their ability to bond directly to tooth structures and preserve healthy tissues. Simultaneously, bioactive restorative materials have emerged as promising alternatives capable of releasing beneficial ions and supporting remineralization processes. Understanding the classification and characteristics of filling materials is therefore fundamental for achieving successful restorative outcomes and ensuring optimal patient care. Restoration of damaged dental tissues has been one of the primary objectives of dentistry throughout its historical development. As oral diseases such as dental caries, tooth wear, trauma, and developmental defects continue to affect populations worldwide, the need for effective restorative materials remains highly significant. Filling materials play a central role in the management of these conditions by replacing lost tooth structure, restoring function, protecting remaining tissues, and improving esthetic appearance. The success of restorative treatment depends largely on selecting materials capable of withstanding the complex biological and mechanical environment of the oral cavity while maintaining structural integrity over extended periods of time.

The oral environment presents numerous challenges for restorative materials. Continuous exposure to temperature fluctuations, moisture, masticatory forces, bacterial activity, acidic substances, and chemical changes requires materials to possess exceptional durability and stability. Consequently, dental material science has evolved substantially over the past century, producing a wide variety of restorative systems designed to meet these demanding requirements. Early filling materials primarily emphasized strength and durability, whereas contemporary restorative systems strive to combine mechanical performance with superior esthetics, adhesive capabilities, and biological compatibility.

Classification of filling materials provides a systematic framework for understanding the characteristics and clinical applications of different restorative options. Materials may be categorized according to composition, polymerization mechanisms, adhesive properties, intended clinical use, esthetic qualities, or biological behavior. Such classifications assist clinicians in selecting the most appropriate restorative approach based on individual patient needs and clinical circumstances.

Metallic materials, particularly dental amalgam, have historically demonstrated exceptional longevity and resistance to functional stress. Resin-based composites have gained widespread popularity due to their esthetic advantages and conservative preparation requirements. Glass ionomer cements offer additional therapeutic benefits through fluoride release and chemical bonding to dental tissues. Hybrid restorative systems attempt to combine favorable characteristics from multiple material categories, while modern bioactive materials represent an emerging field focused on promoting tissue repair and mineral regeneration.

2. Materials and Methods

This study was conducted through a comprehensive review of scientific literature, dental material textbooks, clinical research articles, and evidence-based restorative dentistry guidelines. Information regarding the classification, composition, physical properties, biological behavior, clinical performance, and indications of filling materials was collected and analyzed.

Comparative evaluation was performed among major categories of restorative materials including dental amalgam, composite resin systems, glass ionomer cements, resin-modified glass ionomers, compomers, ceramic restoratives, and bioactive materials. Parameters assessed included mechanical strength, esthetic properties, adhesion to dental tissues, fluoride release, wear resistance, polymerization behavior, biocompatibility, and longevity.

Clinical studies evaluating restoration survival rates, marginal adaptation, secondary caries development, patient satisfaction, and long-term performance were reviewed. The collected data were synthesized to establish a comprehensive classification system and evaluate the advantages and limitations associated with each material category.

3. Results

Analysis revealed that dental filling materials can be classified into several major groups according to their composition and clinical characteristics. Metallic restorative materials, primarily dental amalgam, demonstrated excellent strength, durability, and resistance to occlusal forces. However, concerns regarding esthetics and mercury content have contributed to reduced use in contemporary practice.

Composite resin materials emerged as the most commonly utilized direct restorative materials due to their superior esthetic appearance, adhesive properties, and conservative preparation requirements. Classification of composite resins includes macrofilled, microfilled, hybrid, microhybrid, nanohybrid, and nanofilled systems. These materials demonstrated excellent color matching and favorable mechanical performance.

Glass ionomer cements formed another important category characterized by chemical adhesion to tooth tissues and sustained fluoride release. Conventional glass ionomers showed particular effectiveness in pediatric dentistry and non-load-bearing restorations. Resin-modified glass ionomers exhibited improved strength and handling properties while maintaining fluoride-releasing capabilities.

Compomers represented hybrid materials combining characteristics of composite resins and glass ionomers. These materials provided improved esthetics and moderate fluoride release. Ceramic restorative materials demonstrated exceptional esthetic qualities, color stability, and wear resistance, making them particularly suitable for indirect restorations.

Recently developed bioactive restorative materials displayed the ability to interact with surrounding tissues through ion release and promotion of remineralization processes. These materials exhibited promising potential for enhancing long-term tooth preservation and reducing restoration-related complications. Analysis of contemporary restorative materials demonstrated substantial variation in physical properties, clinical indications, and long-term performance among different material categories. Metallic restoratives exhibited excellent compressive strength and durability, making them highly effective in areas subjected to significant occlusal forces. Their resistance to wear and mechanical degradation contributed to long service life, although esthetic limitations reduced their acceptance in visible areas of the dentition.

Resin-based composite materials showed remarkable versatility and became the most frequently utilized direct restorative option. Advances in filler technology, polymer chemistry, and adhesive systems significantly enhanced their mechanical performance, color stability, polishability, and resistance to fracture. Nanohybrid and nanofilled composites demonstrated particularly favorable outcomes due to improved filler distribution and superior esthetic characteristics.

Glass ionomer cements exhibited unique therapeutic properties resulting from their ability to release fluoride ions and establish chemical bonds with dental tissues. These materials showed particular effectiveness in patients with elevated caries risk and in clinical situations where moisture control presented challenges. Resin-modified glass ionomers displayed improved strength and handling characteristics while retaining many of the biological advantages associated with conventional formulations.

Hybrid materials, including compomers and advanced restorative systems, provided a combination of esthetic appearance, adhesive potential, and fluoride release. Ceramic restoratives demonstrated exceptional translucency, color stability, and wear resistance, making them highly suitable for indirect restorations in esthetically demanding regions. Recently developed bioactive materials exhibited promising capabilities related to ion exchange, remineralization support, and enhanced interaction with surrounding tissues. Overall, the findings indicated that modern filling materials offer diverse solutions capable of addressing a broad range of restorative challenges.

Analysis of contemporary restorative materials demonstrated substantial variation in physical properties, clinical indications, and long-term performance among different material categories. Metallic restoratives exhibited excellent compressive strength and durability, making them highly effective in areas subjected to significant occlusal forces. Their resistance to wear and mechanical degradation contributed to long service life, although esthetic limitations reduced their acceptance in visible areas of the dentition.

Resin-based composite materials showed remarkable versatility and became the most frequently utilized direct restorative option. Advances in filler technology, polymer chemistry, and adhesive systems significantly enhanced their mechanical performance, color stability, polishability, and resistance to fracture. Nanohybrid and nanofilled composites demonstrated particularly favorable outcomes due to improved filler distribution and superior esthetic characteristics.

Glass ionomer cements exhibited unique therapeutic properties resulting from their ability to release fluoride ions and establish chemical bonds with dental tissues. These materials showed particular effectiveness in patients with elevated caries risk and in clinical situations where moisture control presented challenges. Resin-modified glass ionomers displayed improved strength and handling characteristics while retaining many of the biological advantages associated with conventional formulations.

Hybrid materials, including compomers and advanced restorative systems, provided a combination of esthetic appearance, adhesive potential, and fluoride release. Ceramic restoratives demonstrated exceptional translucency, color stability, and wear resistance, making them highly suitable for indirect restorations in esthetically demanding regions. Recently developed bioactive materials exhibited promising capabilities related to ion exchange, remineralization support, and enhanced interaction with surrounding tissues. Overall, the findings indicated that modern filling materials offer diverse solutions capable of addressing a broad range of restorative challenges.

4. Discussion

The classification of filling materials reflects the remarkable progress achieved in restorative dentistry over recent decades. Modern clinicians have access to a diverse range of materials designed to address specific clinical requirements and patient expectations. Selection of an appropriate restorative material requires careful consideration of multiple factors including cavity size, location, occlusal loading, esthetic demands, moisture control, patient age, and long-term prognosis.

Composite resin systems have become increasingly dominant because of their ability to satisfy both functional and esthetic requirements. Continuous improvements in filler technology, resin chemistry, and adhesive systems have enhanced their durability and clinical reliability. Nevertheless, polymerization shrinkage, technique sensitivity, and wear remain important considerations during clinical application.

Glass ionomer-based materials continue to play a valuable role due to their fluoride-releasing properties and chemical adhesion. Their ability to contribute to caries prevention makes them particularly beneficial in patients with elevated caries risk. Hybrid materials such as compomers and resin-modified glass ionomers attempt to combine the advantages of multiple material classes while minimizing individual limitations.

The emergence of bioactive restorative materials represents an important advancement in contemporary dentistry. Unlike traditional passive restoratives, these materials actively participate in biological processes and may contribute to tissue repair and mineral regeneration. Such developments align with modern concepts emphasizing minimally invasive treatment and preservation of natural tooth structures.

Future progress in material science is expected to focus on the development of restoratives possessing enhanced mechanical performance, improved antibacterial activity, superior esthetic properties, and regenerative capabilities. Nanotechnology, biomimetic engineering, and smart materials may significantly influence the next generation of restorative systems. The evolution of filling materials reflects the ongoing transformation of restorative dentistry from mechanically oriented treatment approaches toward biologically driven and minimally invasive concepts. Modern restorative systems are no longer evaluated solely on the basis of strength and durability; instead, clinicians increasingly consider factors such as tissue preservation, adhesive performance, esthetic integration, biocompatibility, and long-term maintenance of oral health. This broader perspective has significantly influenced both material development and clinical decision-making.

One of the most notable trends identified in contemporary restorative dentistry is the growing preference for adhesive materials capable of preserving healthy tooth structure. The introduction of advanced bonding systems has reduced reliance on extensive mechanical retention, thereby supporting conservative preparation designs and enhancing long-term tooth survival. Composite materials have become particularly prominent because they combine functional performance with highly satisfactory esthetic outcomes. Continuous refinement of resin formulations and filler technologies has addressed many limitations associated with earlier generations of composites.

At the same time, therapeutic restorative materials continue to play an important role in preventive and minimally invasive dentistry. Glass ionomer-based systems provide biological benefits that extend beyond simple replacement of lost tissue. Their fluoride-releasing capabilities contribute to caries prevention and support remineralization processes in susceptible individuals. Emerging bioactive restorative materials represent an extension of this concept by actively participating in biological interactions with surrounding tissues rather than functioning as passive replacements.

The future direction of restorative material science is likely to focus on development of intelligent biomaterials capable of responding dynamically to environmental changes within the oral cavity. Innovations involving nanotechnology, regenerative medicine, antibacterial agents, and biomimetic design principles may further enhance restoration longevity and therapeutic effectiveness. Such advancements have the potential to transform restorative dentistry by creating materials that not only repair structural defects but also actively support biological healing and tissue preservation.

5. Conclusion

Dental filling materials constitute an essential component of restorative treatment and significantly influence clinical outcomes. Classification of these materials includes metallic restoratives, composite resins, glass ionomer cements, resin-modified glass ionomers, compomers, ceramics, and bioactive materials. Each category possesses specific physical, mechanical, biological, and esthetic characteristics that determine its clinical indications and limitations. Contemporary restorative dentistry increasingly favors adhesive and esthetic materials capable of preserving healthy tooth structure while providing durable functional performance. Advances in biomaterial science continue to improve restoration quality, longevity, and patient satisfaction. Comprehensive knowledge of filling material classification enables clinicians to select the most appropriate restorative option and achieve predictable long-term success. Classification of filling materials provides an essential framework for understanding the diverse restorative options available in modern dentistry. Different categories of restorative materials possess distinct physical, mechanical, biological, and esthetic properties that determine their suitability for specific clinical situations. Contemporary developments in biomaterial science have significantly expanded treatment possibilities by introducing materials capable of combining strength, durability, esthetics, and biological compatibility. The transition toward adhesive and minimally invasive restorative approaches has increased the importance of materials that preserve healthy tooth structure while ensuring reliable long-term performance. Advances in composite technology, glass ionomer systems, hybrid restoratives, ceramics, and bioactive materials have improved treatment outcomes and enhanced patient satisfaction. Ongoing research continues to drive innovation in restorative dentistry, with future materials expected to exhibit greater bioactivity, regenerative potential, and functional integration with natural tissues. Comprehensive knowledge of filling material classification remains fundamental for effective clinical decision-making and successful restorative treatment.

References

1. Anusavice KJ, Shen C, Rawls HR. Phillips' Science of Dental Materials.

2. Sturdevant CM. Art and Science of Operative Dentistry.

3. Ferracane JL. Resin Composite—State of the Art.

4. Mount GJ, Hume WR. Preservation and Restoration of Tooth Structure.

5. Sakaguchi RL, Powers JM. Craig's Restorative Dental Materials.

6. Summit JB, Robbins JW, Hilton TJ. Fundamentals of Operative Dentistry.

7. Nicholson JW. Glass-Ionomer Cements in Restorative Dentistry.

8. Van Noort R. Introduction to Dental Materials.

9. Kidd EAM, Fejerskov O. Dental Caries and Restorative Materials.

10. Roberson TM, Heymann HO, Swift EJ. Sturdevant's Operative Dentistry.

Indexed & Abstracted In

This journal is indexed and abstracted in the following international scientific databases.

Google Scholar	ISSN	ORCID
CiteFactor	ResearchBib	DOI
Zenodo	Crossref	Grammarly

Article Verification

Scan the QR code to verify the authenticity of this article

DOI: 10.4103/aams.0498