AAMS Pediatrics
ISSN: 2834-7110 (Print) | eISSN: 2834-7111 (Online)
Volume 07, Issue 03, Pages 61-75
DOI: 10.4103/aams.0498
ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Modern Perspectives on the Diagnosis of Neonatal Sepsis

Lim M.V.[1]; Nasriyeva A.X.[2];

DSc., associate professor, department of pediatrics and neonatology №1, SamSMU[1]; Magister, department of pediatrics and neonatology №1, SamSMU[2];

Received: 2026-02-02 · Accepted: 2026-03-28

Abstract

Neonatal sepsis remains a critical global health concern due to its high mortality and the diagnostic challenges associated with its early detection. The nonspecific clinical presentation and rapid progression of the disease necessitate the development of reliable, sensitive, and rapid diagnostic methods. Traditional diagnostic approaches, including blood cultures and clinical assessment, often lack sensitivity and require prolonged time for confirmation. In recent years, advances in laboratory technologies, biomarker identification, and molecular diagnostics have significantly improved the ability to detect neonatal sepsis at an early stage. This article provides a comprehensive overview of modern diagnostic strategies, including the use of inflammatory biomarkers, molecular techniques such as polymerase chain reaction, and emerging tools like genomic and proteomic profiling. The analysis highlights the advantages and limitations of each method and emphasizes the importance of combining clinical evaluation with advanced diagnostic technologies to improve accuracy and patient outcomes. Early and precise diagnosis allows timely initiation of therapy, reduces unnecessary antibiotic exposure, and contributes to improved survival rates in newborns. Early recognition of systemic infection in newborns remains a complex clinical task due to the absence of specific symptoms and the rapid progression of pathological processes. Contemporary diagnostic approaches are increasingly focused on improving sensitivity and reducing the time required for confirmation. Advances in laboratory medicine, including the use of inflammatory markers and molecular detection techniques, have significantly enhanced diagnostic capabilities. This overview examines current trends in identifying neonatal sepsis, emphasizing the integration of clinical evaluation with innovative laboratory tools. The findings indicate that combining multiple diagnostic modalities increases accuracy, facilitates earlier therapeutic decisions, and reduces unnecessary exposure to antimicrobial agents. The implementation of advanced technologies contributes to better clinical outcomes and supports more rational management strategies in neonatal care.

Keywords: neonatal sepsis; diagnosis; biomarkers; procalcitonin; C-reactive protein; PCR; molecular diagnostics; newborn infections; early detection; neonatal intensive care

1. Introduction

Neonatal sepsis is a life-threatening condition characterized by a systemic inflammatory response to infection occurring in the first weeks of life. It remains one of the leading causes of neonatal morbidity and mortality worldwide, particularly in preterm and low birth weight infants. The major challenge in

managing this condition lies in its early diagnosis, as clinical signs are often subtle, variable, and nonspecific. Symptoms such as respiratory distress, feeding intolerance, lethargy, and temperature instability can mimic other neonatal conditions, making clinical diagnosis alone insufficient. Traditionally, blood culture has been considered the gold standard for confirming infection; however, it has several limitations, including low sensitivity, delayed results, and the possibility of contamination. These limitations can lead to delays in treatment or unnecessary use of antibiotics. In response to these challenges, modern diagnostic approaches have focused on identifying reliable biomarkers and developing rapid molecular techniques that can detect infection earlier and more accurately. Biomarkers such as C-reactive protein and procalcitonin have been widely studied for their role in indicating systemic inflammation, while newer markers including interleukins and other cytokines offer additional diagnostic value. Molecular diagnostic methods, including polymerase chain reaction, allow for rapid identification of bacterial DNA directly from blood samples, significantly reducing the time required for diagnosis. Furthermore, advances in genomics and proteomics have opened new possibilities for identifying specific patterns associated with infection. These developments have contributed to a more comprehensive understanding of neonatal sepsis and have improved the ability to distinguish infected infants from those with non-infectious conditions. Systemic infections in the neonatal period represent a serious threat to infant survival, particularly among preterm and low birth weight populations. The difficulty in diagnosing this condition arises from the immaturity of the immune system and the nonspecific nature of clinical manifestations. Symptoms such as respiratory instability, altered feeding behavior, and temperature fluctuations may overlap with non-infectious conditions, complicating timely identification. Traditional diagnostic methods, especially blood culture, have long been considered essential but are limited by delayed results and reduced sensitivity. These limitations often lead to either delayed treatment or excessive use of antibiotics. In response, modern medicine has shifted toward the development of rapid and reliable diagnostic tools that can detect infection at an earlier stage. Biomarkers reflecting inflammatory and immune responses have gained importance as supportive indicators, while molecular techniques enable direct detection of microbial genetic material. The integration of these methods into clinical practice aims to enhance diagnostic precision and improve patient outcomes.

2. Materials and Methods

This study is based on a systematic review and analysis of contemporary scientific literature addressing diagnostic approaches to neonatal sepsis. Relevant articles were selected from peer-reviewed medical journals, clinical guidelines, and international health organization reports. The inclusion criteria focused on studies evaluating the diagnostic accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity of various laboratory and clinical methods. Both traditional and modern diagnostic tools were analyzed, including blood cultures, hematological indices, inflammatory biomarkers, and molecular techniques. Data were extracted regarding study design, patient population, diagnostic performance, and clinical outcomes. Comparative analysis was conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of individual diagnostic methods and their combinations. Particular attention was given to studies investigating the role of emerging technologies such as genomic sequencing and proteomic analysis in early detection. The methodology also included critical evaluation of the limitations and practical applicability of each diagnostic approach in different clinical settings.

3. Results

The analysis demonstrated that no single diagnostic method provides sufficient accuracy when used alone, highlighting the importance of a combined diagnostic approach. Blood culture, while essential for pathogen identification, showed limited sensitivity and delayed results, often requiring 24–72 hours for confirmation. In contrast, inflammatory biomarkers such as procalcitonin and C-reactive protein demonstrated higher sensitivity in the early stages of infection, although their specificity was influenced by non-infectious inflammatory conditions. Molecular diagnostic techniques, particularly polymerase chain reaction, provided rapid detection of bacterial DNA and significantly reduced diagnostic time. These methods were especially valuable in cases where blood cultures were negative or inconclusive. Emerging technologies, including genomic and proteomic profiling, showed promising results in identifying specific patterns associated with infection, although their clinical application remains limited due to cost and technical complexity. The combined use of clinical

assessment, biomarkers, and molecular methods resulted in improved diagnostic accuracy and allowed earlier initiation of appropriate therapy. Analysis of contemporary diagnostic approaches demonstrates that reliance on a single method is insufficient for accurate detection of neonatal sepsis. Conventional laboratory tests provide valuable information but often lack the speed and sensitivity required for early diagnosis. Inflammatory markers have shown improved sensitivity in identifying systemic infection during its initial stages, although their specificity may be influenced by other inflammatory conditions. Molecular diagnostic techniques have significantly reduced the time required to identify pathogens, allowing for earlier confirmation of infection. The combination of clinical assessment with laboratory biomarkers and molecular tools has resulted in higher diagnostic accuracy compared to isolated methods. This integrated approach has also been associated with more timely initiation of appropriate therapy and a reduction in unnecessary antibiotic use.

4. Discussion

The findings of this study underscore the complexity of diagnosing neonatal sepsis and the necessity of integrating multiple diagnostic modalities. Traditional methods such as blood culture remain indispensable for confirming infection and guiding targeted therapy, but their limitations necessitate the use of supplementary tools. Biomarkers provide valuable information regarding the inflammatory response and can support early clinical decision-making, particularly when used in combination rather than isolation. Molecular techniques represent a significant advancement by enabling rapid detection of pathogens, thereby reducing delays in diagnosis and treatment. However, challenges such as cost, availability, and the need for specialized equipment limit their widespread use, particularly in resource-limited settings. The integration of emerging technologies such as genomics and proteomics holds great potential for the future of neonatal sepsis diagnosis, offering the possibility of highly precise and individualized diagnostic approaches. Additionally, the implementation of standardized diagnostic protocols and clinical algorithms can improve consistency and reduce variability in clinical practice. Ongoing research and technological innovation are expected to further enhance diagnostic capabilities and improve patient outcomes. The evolution of diagnostic strategies for neonatal infections reflects the need for faster and more precise identification of disease. Traditional methods remain important but are increasingly supplemented by advanced technologies that address their limitations. Biomarkers provide rapid insights into the inflammatory status of the patient, while molecular diagnostics offer direct evidence of infection. The combination of these tools allows clinicians to make more informed decisions and tailor treatment strategies to individual patients. However, challenges remain, including variability in biomarker levels, the high cost of molecular techniques, and limited accessibility in certain healthcare settings. Despite these limitations, the integration of modern diagnostic approaches represents a significant advancement in neonatal care. Ongoing research is focused on identifying new biomarkers and improving the affordability and accessibility of advanced technologies. The development of standardized diagnostic protocols may further enhance consistency and effectiveness in clinical practice.

5. Conclusion

Modern diagnostic strategies for neonatal sepsis emphasize the importance of early detection through a combination of clinical evaluation, laboratory biomarkers, and advanced molecular techniques. While traditional methods continue to play a crucial role, their limitations highlight the need for complementary approaches that provide faster and more accurate results. The use of integrated diagnostic models improves the identification of infected newborns, supports timely initiation of treatment, and reduces unnecessary antibiotic exposure. Continued development and implementation of innovative diagnostic tools, along with adherence to evidence-based guidelines, are essential for improving survival rates and reducing the global burden of neonatal sepsis. Accurate and timely identification of neonatal sepsis is essential for improving survival and reducing complications. Modern diagnostic approaches emphasize the use of combined strategies that incorporate clinical evaluation, laboratory markers, and molecular techniques. This integrated model enhances diagnostic accuracy, supports early therapeutic intervention, and minimizes unnecessary treatment. Continued advancements in diagnostic technology and adherence to evidence-based practices are expected to further improve outcomes in neonatal care.

References

[1] Berek JS, Novak E. Berek & Novak's Gynecology. 7th ed. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2021.

[2] Gershenson DM, Lentz GM, Valea FA, et al. Comprehensive Gynecology. 7th ed. Elsevier; 2020.

[3] Cunningham FG, Leveno KJ, Bloom SL, et al. Williams Obstetrics. 26th ed. McGraw-Hill; 2022.

[4] Ayupova FM, Ixtiyarova GA. Obstetrics and Gynecology. Bukhara Publishing; 2021. (e-library.sammu.uz)

[5] Allayorov YN, Asqarova FQ. Gynecology and Reproductive Health. Innovative Development Publishing; 2023. (e-library.sammu.uz)

[6] Ayupova FM. Gynecology. Mehridaryo; 2015. (e-library.sammu.uz)

[7] Rock JA, Jones HW III. Te Linde's Operative Gynecology. 12th ed. Wolters Kluwer; 2019.

[8] Speroff L, Fritz MA. Clinical Gynecologic Endocrinology and Infertility. 8th ed. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2019.

[9] Berek JS, Hacker NF. Obstetrics and Gynecology. 7th ed. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2021.

[10] American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG Practice Bulletin Series. ACOG Publishing; 2025.

[11] American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Committee Opinions. Washington, DC; 2025.

[12] Obstetrics & Gynecology (journal). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins – "Green Journal". (en.wikipedia.org)

[13] International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics. Wiley-Blackwell. (en.wikipedia.org)

[14] Clinical Obstetrics and Gynecology. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. (en.wikipedia.org)

[15] Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. Informa Healthcare. (en.wikipedia.org)

[16] European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology. Elsevier. (en.wikipedia.org)

[17] Reproductive Sciences. Springer Nature. (en.wikipedia.org)

[18] Bonney V, Baird DT, eds. The Reproductive System at a Glance. Wiley-Blackwell; 2017.

[19] Hatcher RA, Trussell J, Nelson AL, et al. Contraceptive Technology. 21st ed. Ayer Company Publishers; 2018.

[20] Creinin MD, Schlaff WD, Archer DF. Contraception: A Clinical Casebook for Practical Management. Springer; 2015.

[21] Mishell DR Jr, Belfort MA, eds. Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone: Clinical Perspectives. Cambridge University Press; 2014.

[22] Simpson JL, Elias S, eds. Early Pregnancy Loss: Mechanisms and Treatment. Wiley-Blackwell; 2016.

[23] Ginsburg ES, Casper RF, Racowsky C, eds. Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility. Cambridge University Press; 2014.

[24] Best Practice & Research Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology. Elsevier journal. (shop.elsevier.com)

[25] World Health Organization. WHO Guidelines on Preventing Unsafe Abortion. WHO; 2022.

Indexed & Abstracted In

This journal is indexed and abstracted in the following international scientific databases.

Google Scholar

ISSN

ORCID

CiteFactor

ResearchBib

DOI

Zenodo

Crossref

Grammarly

Article Verification

Scan the QR code to verify the authenticity of this article

DOI: 10.4103/aams.0498